## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

#### Form 10-Q

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014

OR

( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-9035

### POPE RESOURCES, A DELAWARE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

91-1313292 (IRS Employer Identification Number)

19950 7th Avenue NE, Suite 200, Poulsbo, WA 98370

Telephone: (360) 697-6626

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code) (Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer o

Non-accelerated Filer o Smaller Reporting Company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes o No x

Partnership units outstanding at March 31, 2014: 4,452,511

### Pope Resources Index to Form 10-Q Filing For the three Months Ended March 31, 2014

| Description   |   | Page Number |
|---|---|-------------|
| Part I. Financial Information   |   |             |
|   |   |             |
| Item 1 Financial Statements (unaudited)   |   |             |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets   |   | <u>4</u>    |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income                                     |   | <u>5</u>    |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows   |   | <u>6</u>    |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  |   | <u>7</u>    |
|   |   |             |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations |   | <u>12</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk                            |   | <u>28</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures   |   | <u>28</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Part II. Other Information  |   |             |
|   |   |             |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>  |   | <u>29</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors   |   | <u>29</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>                    |   | <u>32</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>  |   | <u>32</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>                            |   | <u>32</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Item 5. Other Information   |   | <u>32</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Item 6. Exhibits  |   | <u>33</u>   |
|   |   |             |
| Signatures  | ŀ | 3/1         |

#### PARTI-FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) Pope Resources, a Delaware Limited Partnership March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| in thousands)   |         | 2013    |    |         |
|---|---------|---------|----|---------|
| ASSETS  |         |         |    |         |
| Current assets  |         |         |    |         |
| Partnership cash and cash equivalents   | \$      | 20,022  | \$ | 5,704   |
| ORM Timber Funds cash and cash equivalents  |         | 1,005   |    | 1,256   |
| Cash and cash equivalents   |         | 21,027  |    | 6,960   |
| Accounts receivable, net  |         | 3,233   |    | 1,501   |
| Land held for sale  |         | 4,432   |    | 10,258  |
| Prepaid expenses and other  |         | 1,597   |    | 1,660   |
| Total current assets  |         | 30,289  |    | 20,379  |
| Properties and equipment, at cost   |         |         |    |         |
| Timber and roads, net of accumulated depletion                                      |         |         |    |         |
| (2014 - 96,439; 2013 - \$92,971)  |         | 208,716 |    | 211,946 |
| Timberland  |         | 44,920  |    | 44,946  |
| Land held for development   |         | 27,565  |    | 27,040  |
| Buildings and equipment, net of accumulated   |         |         |    |         |
| depreciation (2014 - \$6,539; 2013 - \$6,437)                                       |         | 6,149   |    | 6,205   |
| Total properties and equipment, at cost   |         | 287,350 |    | 290,137 |
| Other assets  |         | 455     |    | 392     |
| Total assets  | \$      | 318,094 | \$ | 310,908 |
| LIABILITIES, PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS                         |         |         |    |         |
| Current liabilities   |         |         |    |         |
| Accounts payable  | \$      | 2,187   | \$ | 2,196   |
| Accrued liabilities   |         | 3,054   |    | 4,109   |
| Current portion of long-term debt   |         | 107     |    | 109     |
| Deferred revenue  |         | 675     |    | 599     |
| Other current liabilities   |         | 977     |    | 966     |
| Total current liabilities   |         | 7,000   |    | 7,979   |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion  |         | 75,554  |    | 75,581  |
| Environmental remediation and other long-term liabilities                           |         | 12,698  |    | 12,734  |
| Partners' capital and noncontrolling interests                                      |         |         |    |         |
| General partners' capital (units issued and outstanding 2014 - 60; 2013 - 60)       |         | 1,111   |    | 974     |
| Limited partners' capital (units issued and outstanding 2014 - 4,328; 2013 - 4,312) |         | 78,380  |    | 68,471  |
| Noncontrolling interests  |         | 143,351 |    | 145,169 |
| Total partners' capital and noncontrolling interests                                | <u></u> | 222,842 | Φ. | 214,614 |
| Total liabilities, partners' capital, and noncontrolling interests                  | \$      | 318,094 | \$ | 310,908 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

Pope Resources, a Delaware Limited Partnership

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands, except per unit data)

| (in thousands, except per unit data)                        | 2014     |               |          | 2013        |
|---|----------|---------------|----------|-------------|
| Revenue   | \$       | 37,779        | \$       | 16,718      |
| Cost of sales   |          | (18,924)      |          | (8,864)     |
| Operating expenses  |          | (3,285)       |          | (2,903)     |
| General and administrative expenses                         |          | (1,322)       |          | (1,193)     |
| Income from operations                                      |          | 14,248        |          | 3,758       |
| Other expense   |          |               |          |             |
| Interest expense, net                                       |          | (574)         |          | (355)       |
| Income before income taxes                                  |          | 13,674        |          | 3,403       |
| Income tax benefit (expense)                                |          | (157)         |          | 14          |
| Net income  |          | 13,517        |          | 3,417       |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling            |          |               |          |             |
| interests - ORM Timber Funds                                |          | (1,276)       |          | 67          |
| Net income attributable to unitholders                      |          | 12,241        |          | 3,484       |
| Other comprehensive income                                  |          | -             |          |             |
| Comprehensive income attributable to unitholders            | \$       | 12,241        | \$       | 3,484       |
| All calls to many all controls                              | ¢.       | 167           | ¢.       | 40          |
| Allocable to general partners Allocable to limited partners | \$       | 167<br>12,074 | \$       | 48<br>3,436 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to unitholders            | \$       | 12,074        | \$       | 3,484       |
| Comprehensive nicome attributable to unitholders            | <u> </u> | 12,241        | <b>3</b> | 3,404       |
| Basic and diluted earnings per unit attributable            |          |               |          |             |
| to unitholders  | \$       | 2.75          | \$       | 0.76        |
| Basic and diluted weighted average units outstanding        |          | 4,386         |          | 4,366       |
| Distributions per unit                                      | \$       | 0.55          | \$       | 0.45        |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

Pope Resources, a Delaware Limited Partnership Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands)

| (in thousands)   |              | 2014    |     |         |
|--|--------------|---------|-----|---------|
| Net income   | \$           | 13,517  | \$  | 3,417   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities |              |         |     |         |
| Depletion  |              | 3,437   |     | 2,684   |
| Equity-based compensation  |              | 450     |     | 536     |
| Depreciation and amortization  |              | 178     |     | 170     |
| Deferred taxes   |              | -       |     | (32)    |
| Cost of land sold  |              | 6,921   |     | 6       |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment                                       |              | -       |     | 57      |
| Cash flows from changes in operating accounts                                    |              |         |     |         |
| Accounts receivable, net   |              | (1,732) |     | (1,119) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets  |              | (20)    |     | (23)    |
| Real Estate project expenditures   |              | (1,530) |     | (325)   |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities   |              | (1,056) |     | (1,094) |
| Deferred revenue   |              | 76      |     | 360     |
| Environmental remediation  |              | (11)    |     | (78)    |
| Other current and long-term liabilities  |              | (14)    |     | (10)    |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  |              | 20,216  | \$  | 4,549   |
| Cash flows from investing activities   |              |         |     |         |
| Reforestation and roads  |              | (302)   |     | (190)   |
| Buildings and equipment  |              | (79)    |     | (43)    |
| Net cash used in investing activities  |              | (381)   |     | (233)   |
| Cash flows from financing activities   |              |         |     |         |
| Repayment of long-term debt  |              | (29)    |     | (24)    |
| Proceeds from preferred stock issuance - ORM Timber Funds                        |              | 125     |     |         |
| Payroll taxes paid on unit net settlements                                       |              | (196)   |     | (241)   |
| Cash distributions to unitholders  |              | (2,449) |     | (2,000) |
| Cash distributions - ORM Timber Funds, net of distributions to Partnership       |              | (3,219) |     | (1,928) |
| Other  |              | -       |     | 1       |
| Net cash used in financing activities  |              | (5,768) |     | (4,192) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents  |              | 14,067  |     | 124     |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period                                 |              | 6,960   |     | 3,779   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period                                       | \$           | 21,027  | \$  | 3,903   |
|  | <del>-</del> | ,       | · — | 2,200   |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# POPE RESOURCES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) March 31, 2014

- 1. The condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and the related condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 have been prepared by Pope Resources, A Delaware Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"), pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments and accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. The financial information as of December 31, 2013, is derived from the Partnership's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2013, and should be read in conjunction with such financial statements and notes. The results of operations for the interim periods are not indicative of the results of operations that may be achieved for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.
- 2. The financial statements in the Partnership's 2013 annual report on Form 10-K include a summary of significant accounting policies of the Partnership and should be read in conjunction with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
- 3. The Partnership has two general partners: Pope MGP, Inc. and Pope EGP, Inc. In total, these two entities own 60,000 partnership units. The allocation of distributions, profits and losses between the general and limited partners is pro rata across all units outstanding.
- 4. ORM Timber Fund I, LP (Fund I), ORM Timber Fund II, Inc. (Fund II), and ORM Timber Fund III (REIT) Inc. (Fund III), collectively "the Funds", were formed by Olympic Resource Management LLC (ORMLLC), a wholly owned subsidiary of Pope Resources, for the purpose of attracting capital to purchase timberlands. The objective of these Funds is to generate a return on investments through the acquisition, management, value enhancement and sale of timberland properties. Each Fund will operate for a term of ten years from the end of the respective drawdown period, with Fund I terminating in August 2017, Fund II terminating in March 2021, and Fund III terminating on the tenth anniversary of the completion of its drawdown period. Fund III's drawdown period will end at the earlier of placement of all committed capital or July 31, 2015.

Pope Resources and ORMLLC together own 20% of Fund I and Fund II and 5% of Fund III. The Funds are consolidated into the Partnership's financial statements based in part on ORMLLC's controlling role as the general partner or managing member of the Funds. The Funds' statements of operations for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 reflect operating income of \$3.1 million and loss of \$791,000, respectively. These operating results exclude management fees paid by the Funds to ORMLLC of \$875,000 and \$673,000 for the first quarters of 2014 and 2013, respectively, which are eliminated in consolidation. The portion of these fees, among other items of income and expense, attributed to third-party investors is reflected as an adjustment to income in the Partnership's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income under the caption "Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests - ORM Timber Funds."

The Partnership's condensed consolidated balance sheet included assets and liabilities of the Funds as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, which were as follows:

| (in thousands)  | Mar | ch 31, 2014 | Dec | cember 31,<br>2013 |
|---|-----|-------------|-----|--------------------|
| Assets:   |     |             |     |                    |
| Cash  | \$  | 1,005       | \$  | 1,256              |
| Other current assets  |     | 1,879       |     | 362                |
| Total current assets  |     | 2,884       |     | 1,618              |
| Timber, timberland and roads, net of accumulated depletion (2014 - \$31,486; 2013 - \$28,713) |     | 209,236     |     | 211,871            |
| Other long-term assets  |     | 140         |     | 125                |
| Total assets  | \$  | 212,260     | \$  | 213,614            |
| Liabilities and equity:   | -   |             |     |                    |
| Current liabilities excluding long-term debt  | \$  | 2,569       | \$  | 1,747              |
| Current portion of long-term debt   |     | -           |     | 3                  |
| Total current liabilities   | -   | 2,569       |     | 1,750              |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion  |     | 42,980      |     | 42,980             |
| Total liabilities   |     | 45,549      |     | 44,730             |
| Funds' equity   |     | 166,711     |     | 168,884            |
| Total liabilities and equity  | \$  | 212,260     | \$  | 213,614            |

5. In the presentation of the Partnership's revenue and operating income (loss) by segment, all intersegment revenue and expense is eliminated to determine operating income (loss) reported externally. The following table reconciles internally reported income (loss) from operations to externally reported income (loss) from operations by business segment, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

|  |          |          | I  | Fee Timber     |          |                | Tin | nberland       |              |    |               |                |                  |
|--|----------|----------|----|----------------|----------|----------------|-----|----------------|--------------|----|---------------|----------------|------------------|
|  |          | Pope     |    | ORM            |          |                | Man | agement        |              |    |               |                |                  |
| Three Months Ended                               | R        | esources |    | Timber         | ]        | Total Fee      |     | &              | Real         |    |               |                |                  |
| March 31, (in thousands)                         | ,        | Timber   |    | Funds          |          | Timber         | Co  | nsulting       | Estate       |    | Other         | Coı            | nsolidated       |
| 2014   |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              | _  |               |                |                  |
| Revenue internal                                 | \$       | 11,298   | \$ | 10,965         | \$       | 22,263         | \$  | 875            | \$<br>15,699 | \$ | -             | \$             | 38,837           |
| Eliminations                                     |          | (154)    |    | -              |          | (154)          |     | (875)          | (29)         |    | -             |                | (1,058)          |
| Revenue external                                 |          | 11,144   |    | 10,965         |          | 22,109         |     | -              | 15,670       |    | -             |                | 37,779           |
| Cost of sales                                    |          | (3,910)  |    | (7,194)        |          | (11,104)       |     | -              | (7,820)      |    | -             |                | (18,924)         |
| Operating, general and                           |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| administrative expenses internal<br>Eliminations |          | (1,100)  |    | (1,559)<br>875 |          | (2,659)<br>875 |     | (764)<br>154   | (891)        |    | (1,351)<br>29 |                | (5,665)<br>1,058 |
| Operating, general and                           |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                | <br>         |    |               | _              |                  |
| administrative expenses                          |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| external   |          | (1,100)  |    | (684)          |          | (1,784)        |     | (610)          | (891)        |    | (1,322)       |                | (4,607)          |
| Income (loss) from operations                    |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| internal   |          | 6,288    |    | 2,212          |          | 8,500          |     | 111            | 6,988        |    | (1,351)       |                | 14,248           |
| Eliminations                                     |          | (154)    |    | 875            |          | 721            |     | (721)          | (29)         |    | 29            |                | _                |
| Income (loss) from operations                    |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| external   | \$       | 6,134    | \$ | 3,087          | \$       | 9,221          | \$  | (610)          | \$<br>6,959  | \$ | (1,322)       | <u>\$</u>      | 14,248           |
| 2013   |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| Revenue internal                                 | \$       | 11,205   | \$ | 5,378          | \$       | 16,583         | \$  | 673            | \$<br>317    | \$ | -             | \$             | 17,573           |
| Eliminations                                     |          | (141)    |    | -              |          | (141)          |     | (673)          | (41)         |    | -             |                | (855)            |
| Revenue external                                 |          | 11,064   |    | 5,378          |          | 16,442         |     | -              | 276          |    | -             |                | 16,718           |
| Cost of sales                                    |          | (4,521)  |    | (4,055)        |          | (8,576)        |     | -              | (288)        |    | -             |                | (8,864)          |
| Operating, general and                           |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| administrative expenses internal                 |          | (1,032)  |    | (1,205)        |          | (2,237)        |     | (653)          | (839)        |    | (1,222)       |                | (4,951)          |
| Eliminations                                     |          | 12       |    | 673            |          | 685            |     | 141            | -            |    | 29            |                | 855              |
| Operating, general and                           |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| administrative expenses external                 |          | (1,020)  |    | (532)          |          | (1,552)        |     | (512)          | (839)        |    | (1,193)       |                | (4,096)          |
| Income (loss) from operations                    |          |          |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| :  |          | 5,652    |    | 118            |          | 5,770          |     | 20             | (810)        |    | (1,222)       |                | 3,758            |
| internal   |          | 5,052    |    |                |          |                |     |                |              |    |               |                |                  |
| Eliminations                                     |          | (129)    |    | 673            |          | 544            |     | (532)          | (41)         |    | 29            |                |                  |
|  | <u> </u> |          | \$ | 673<br>791     | <u> </u> | 544<br>6,314   | \$  | (532)<br>(512) | \$<br>(41)   | \$ | (1,193)       | <del></del> \$ | 3,758            |

<sup>6.</sup> Basic and diluted earnings per unit are calculated by dividing net income attributable to unitholders, adjusted for non-forfeitable distributions paid out to unvested restricted unitholders and preferred shareholders of Fund II and Fund III, by the weighted average units outstanding during the period. There were no dilutive securities outstanding during the periods presented. The following table shows how we arrived at basic and diluted income per unit:

Quarter Ended

|  | March 31 |        |    |       |  |  |
|--|----------|--------|----|-------|--|--|
| (in thousands, except per unit amounts)                    | 2014     |        |    | 2013  |  |  |
| Net income attributable to Pope Resources' unitholders     | \$       | 12,241 | \$ | 3,484 |  |  |
| Less:  |          |        |    |       |  |  |
| Net income attributable to unvested restricted unitholders |          | (172)  |    | (150) |  |  |
| Preferred share dividends - ORM Timber Funds               |          | (8)    |    | (4)   |  |  |
| Net income for calculation of EPS                          | \$       | 12,061 | \$ | 3,330 |  |  |
|  |          |        |    |       |  |  |
| Basic and diluted weighted average units outstanding       |          | 4,386  |    | 4,366 |  |  |
| Basic and diluted earnings per unit                        | \$       | 2.75   | \$ | 0.76  |  |  |

- 7. In January 2014, the Partnership granted 9,966 restricted units pursuant to the management incentive compensation program. These restricted units vest ratably over four years with the grant date fair value equal to the market price on the date of grant. Simultaneous with the restricted unit grant to management, members of our Board of Directors received 3,000 restricted units. Restricted units granted to directors are not part of the management incentive compensation program, but are included in the calculation of total equity compensation expense. These awards to directors vest 50% on the third anniversary and 50% on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant. Total equity compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. We recognized \$450,000 and \$536,000 of equity compensation expense in the first quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively, related to these incentive compensation programs.
- 8. Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: interest paid, net of amounts capitalized, totaled \$311,000 and \$233,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Partnership paid income taxes of \$13,000 and received a net income tax refund of \$260,000 in the first three months of 2013.
- 9. The Partnership's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable, for which the carrying amount of each represents fair value based on current market interest rates or their short-term nature. Carrying amounts of contracts receivable, although long-term, also approximate fair value based on current market rates.
  - The Partnership's and the Funds' fixed-rate debt collectively have a carrying value of \$75.7 million as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The estimated fair value of this debt, based on current interest rates for similar instruments (Level 2 inputs in the Fair Value Hierarchy), is approximately \$80.1 million and \$77.5 million, as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.
- 10. The Partnership has an accrual for estimated environmental remediation costs of \$13.2 million as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The environmental remediation liability represents management's estimate of payments to be made to monitor and remedy certain areas in and around the townsite/millsite of Port Gamble (\$13.1 million), and at Port Ludlow, Washington (\$100,000).

In December of 2013, a consent decree and Clean-up Action Plan (CAP) related to Port Gamble were finalized with the Department of Ecology (DOE) and filed with Kitsap County Superior Court. The scope of the clean-up in the final CAP is substantially the same as was contemplated in the second quarter of 2012 when an additional accrual of \$12.5 million was recorded. Certain unresolved issues remain; principally related to the degree to which the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), the other potentially liable party (PLP) in Port Gamble, is going to participate in funding the costs of clean-up.

In developing its estimate of the Port Gamble environmental liability, management has employed a Monte Carlo statistical simulation model that suggests a potential aggregate range of clean-up costs from \$11.4 million to \$15.3 million. The \$13.1 million liability recorded by the Company as of March 31, 2014 is based on the 50th percentile within the range, which management considers the best estimate of the most likely outcome.

The environmental remediation accrual also includes estimated costs related to a separate remediation effort within the resort community of Port Ludlow. In September 2013, the Company completed and submitted to DOE a focused feasibility study of clean-up action alternatives and, in February 2014, DOE issued an opinion letter in which it concurred with the clean-up alternative recommended in the study. The Company has recorded a liability of \$100,000 which corresponds to the estimated cost of the clean-up alternative recommended in the study.

The environmental liability at March 31, 2014 is comprised of \$700,000 that management expects to expend in the next 12 months and \$12.5 million thereafter and these amounts are included in other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities, respectively.

Activity in the environmental liability is as follows:

| (in thousands)               | the I | lances at<br>Beginning<br>ne Period | Additions<br>to<br>Accrual | •  | penditures<br>for<br>mediation | Balance at<br>Period-end |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | \$    | 2,203                               | \$<br>12,500               | \$ | 761                            | \$<br>13,942             |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 |       | 13,942                              | -                          |    | 701                            | 13,241                   |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2014 |       | 13,241                              | -                          |    | 11                             | 13,230                   |
|                              |       |                                     |                            |    |                                |                          |
|                              |       | 11                                  |                            |    |                                |                          |

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This report contains a number of projections and statements about our expected financial condition, operating results, and business plans and objectives. These statements reflect management's estimates based upon our current goals, in light of management's knowledge of existing circumstances and expectations about future developments. Statements about expectations and future performance are "forward looking statements" within the meaning of applicable securities laws, which describe our goals, objectives and anticipated performance. These statements can be identified by words such as "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "intend" and similar expressions. These statements are inherently uncertain, and some or all of these statements may not come to pass. Accordingly, you should not interpret these statements as promises that we will perform at a given level or that we will take any or all of the actions we currently expect to take. Our future actions, as well as our actual performance, will vary from our current expectations, and under various circumstances these variations may be material and adverse. Some of the factors that may cause our actual operating results and financial condition to fall short of our expectations are set forth in the part of this report entitled "Risk Factors" in Part II, Item 1A below. Some of the issues that may have an adverse and material impact on our business, operating results and financial condition include economic conditions that affect consumer demand for our products and the prices we receive for them both domestically and overseas, particularly in certain parts of Asia; government regulation that affects our ability to access our timberlands and harvest logs from those lands; factors that affect the timing and amounts realized from the sales, if any, of our real estate holdings; the implications of significant indirect sales to overseas customers, including regulatory and tax matters; the effect of financial market conditions on our investment portfolio and related liquidity; environmental and land use regulations that limit our ability to harvest timber and develop property; access to debt financing by our customers as well as ourselves; the impacts of climate change and natural disasters on our timberlands and on surrounding areas; and the potential impacts of fluctuations in foreign currency rates as they affect demand for our products. From time to time we identify other risks and uncertainties in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The forward-looking statements in this report reflect our estimates and expectations as of the date of the report, and unless required by law, we do not undertake to update these statements as our business operations and environment change.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included with this report.

#### **EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

Pope Resources, A Delaware Limited Partnership ("we" or the "Partnership"), is engaged in three primary businesses. The first, and by far most significant segment in terms of owned assets and operations, is the Fee Timber segment. This segment includes timberlands owned directly by the Partnership and operations of the three private equity funds ("Funds"). When we refer to the timberland owned by the Partnership, we describe it as the Partnership's tree farms. We refer to timberland owned by the Funds as the Funds' tree farms. When referring collectively to the Partnership's and Funds' timberland we will refer to them as the Combined tree farms. Operations in this segment consist of growing timber to be harvested as logs for sale to export brokers and domestic manufacturers. The second most significant business in terms of total assets owned is the development and sale of real estate. Real Estate activities primarily take the form of securing permits, entitlements, and, in some cases, installing infrastructure for raw land development and then realizing that land's value by selling larger parcels to buyers who will take the land further up the value chain, either to home buyers or to developers and lessors of commercial property. Since these land projects span multiple years, the Real Estate segment may incur losses for multiple years while a project is developed, and will not recognize operating income until that project is sold. In addition, within this segment we sometimes negotiate and sell development rights in the form of conservation easements (CE's) on Fee Timber properties to preclude future development. Our third business, which we refer to as Timberland Management & Consulting, or "TM&C," is engaged in organizing and managing private equity timber funds using capital invested by third parties and the Partnership.

Our current strategy for adding timberland acreage is centered on our private equity timber fund business model. We have closed and invested capital from three timber funds, with assets under management totaling approximately \$302 million based on appraisals as of December 31, 2013. Our 20% coinvestments in Funds I and II, and our 5% co-investment in Fund III, which collectively totaled \$32 million at time of acquisition, afford us a share of the Funds' operating cash flows while also allowing us to earn asset management and timberland management fees, as well as potential future incentive fees, based upon the overall success of each fund. Management also believes that this strategy allows us to maintain more sophisticated expertise in timberland acquisition, valuation, and management more cost-effectively than could be maintained for the Partnership's timberlands alone. We believe our co-investment strategy also enhances our credibility with existing and prospective investors by demonstrating that we have both an operational and a financial commitment to the Funds' success.

We have closed on \$180 million of committed capital for Fund III, \$9 million of which represents our co-investment commitment. With this capital, we have acquired timberland in northern California and southwest Washington. As of March 31, 2014, \$108 million of undrawn capital commitment remains, including a commitment to Fund III by the Partnership of \$5 million.

The Funds are consolidated into our financial statements, but then income or loss attributable to equity owned by third parties is removed from consolidated results in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income under the caption "Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests-ORM Timber Funds" to arrive at comprehensive income attributable to unitholders of the Partnership.

The challenge for our Real Estate segment centers around how and when to "harvest" parcels of our 2,900-acre portfolio in western Washington to optimize value realization by selling the property, balancing the long-term risks and costs of carrying and developing a property against the potential for income and positive cash flows upon sale.

#### First quarter highlights

- · Harvest volume was 30 million board feet (MMBF) in Q1 2014 compared to 26 MMBF in Q1 2013, a 13% increase.
- · Average realized log price per thousand board feet (MBF) was \$701 in Q1 2014 compared to \$610 per MBF in Q1 2013, a 15% increase.
- · As a percentage of total harvest, volume sold to export markets in Q1 2014 increased to 42% from 26% in Q1 2013, while the mix of volume sold to domestic markets decreased to 43% in Q1 2014 from 58% in Q1 2013.
- The percentage of total harvest comprised of higher-value Douglas-fir sawlogs dropped to 61% in Q1 2014 from 69% in Q1 2013, with a corresponding increase in the whitewood component to 22% in Q1 2014 from 13% in Q1 2013. This shift in species mix is consistent with the higher weighting of total harvest volume coming from Fund properties in the first quarter, which increased to 53% in 2014 from 35% in 2013.
- · The following significant Real Estate land sales occurred during Q1 2014, while there were no such sales in Q1 2013:
  - o 107 single-family residential lots to three separate builders in our Gig Harbor project representing total revenue of \$10.7 million, and
  - o 535-acre conservation land sale for \$4.6 million.

#### Outlook

We expect our harvest volume for the full year 2014 to be between 95 and 100 MMBF, with the final total depending on log market conditions for the balance of the year. The projected split of this total annual harvest is approximately 47% from Partnership tree farms and 53% from Fund tree farms. Log prices thus far in 2014 compare quite favorably to those realized in recent years, but we expect the log price environment to be softer in the second quarter based on export market conditions observed in April. If export log market conditions soften or the domestic housing recovery slows down, this could translate to weaker results over the balance of the year.

In the first quarter of 2014, we closed on the sale of a number of Real Estate properties and although we expect to close on several more during 2014, the timing and success of those transactions remains uncertain.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table reconciles and compares key revenue and cost elements that impacted our net income for the respective quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. The explanatory text that follows the table describes in detail certain of these changes by business segment.

| (in thousands)   | •  | rter Ended<br>arch 31, |
|--|----|------------------------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to Pope Resources' unitholders: |    |                        |
| 2014 period  | \$ | 12,241                 |
| 2013 period  |    | 3,484                  |
| Variance   | \$ | 8,757                  |
| Detail of variance:  |    |                        |
| Fee Timber   |    |                        |
| Log volumes (A)  | \$ | 2,077                  |
| Log price realizations (B)                                     |    | 2,718                  |
| Production costs   |    | (1,775)                |
| Depletion  |    | (753)                  |
| Other Fee Timber   |    | 640                    |
| Timberland Management & Consulting                             |    | (98)                   |
| Real Estate  |    |                        |
| Land sales   |    | 7,879                  |
| Other Real Estate  |    | (69)                   |
| General & administrative costs                                 |    | (129)                  |
| Net interest expense   |    | (219)                  |
| Other (taxes and noncontrolling interest)                      |    | (1,514)                |
| Total variances  | \$ | 8,757                  |

- (A) Volume variance calculated by extending change in sales volume by the average log sales price for the comparison period.
- (B) Price variance calculated by extending the change in average realized price by current period sales volume.

#### Fee Timber

Fee Timber results include operations from 110,000 acres of timberland owned by the Partnership and 91,000 acres of timberland owned by the Funds. Fee Timber revenue is earned primarily from the harvest and sale of logs from these timberlands which are located in western Washington, northwestern Oregon, and northern California. Fee Timber revenue, to a lesser extent, is also derived from the ground leases for cellular communication towers and royalties from gravel mines and quarries. Our Fee Timber revenue is driven primarily by the volume of timber harvested and the average log price realized on the sale of that harvested timber. Our volume harvested is typically based on manufactured log sales to domestic mills or log export brokers. We also occasionally sell rights to harvest timber (timber deed sale) from the Combined tree farms. During the second, third and fourth quarters of 2013, we sold volume under the terms of a small timber deed sale from the Funds' timberland. The metrics used to calculate volumes sold and average price realized during the reporting periods exclude the timber deed sales, except where stated otherwise. Harvest volumes are generally expressed in million board feet (MMBF) increments while harvest revenue and related costs are generally expressed in terms of revenue or cost per thousand board feet (MBF). Fee Timber cost of sales, which consist predominantly of harvest, haul, and depletion costs, vary with harvest volume and the resulting revenue. Revenue and cost data related to harvest activities on timberland owned by the Funds are included in this discussion of operations.

When discussing our Fee Timber operations, we compare the current quarter results to both the previous quarter and the corresponding quarter of the prior year. These comparisons provide an opportunity to note harvest and log price trends that affect Fee Timber operating results. Revenue and operating income for the Fee Timber segment for the quarters ended March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2013, were as follows:

| (in millions)<br>Quarter ended              |    | Log Sale<br>Revenue |          | Mineral,<br>Cell Tower<br>& Other<br>Revenue | Total Fee<br>Timber<br>Revenue | Operating<br>Income | Harvest<br>Volume<br>(MMBF) |
|---|----|---------------------|----------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Partnership tree farms<br>Funds' tree farms | \$ | 10.2<br>10.7        | \$       | 0.9<br>0.3                                   | \$<br>11.1<br>11.0             | \$<br>6.1<br>3.1    | 13.9<br>15.9                |
| Total Fee Timber March 31, 2014             | \$ | 20.9                | \$       | 1.2  | \$<br>22.1                     | \$<br>9.2           | 29.9                        |
| Partnership tree farms<br>Funds' tree farms | \$ | 7.2<br>4.1          | \$       | 0.5<br>0.3                                   | \$<br>7.7<br>4.5               | \$<br>3.3<br>(0.2)  | 11.1<br>6.8                 |
| Total Fee Timber December 31, 2013          | \$ | 11.3                | \$       | 0.8  | \$<br>12.2                     | \$<br>3.1           | 17.9                        |
| Partnership tree farms Funds' tree farms    |    | 10.8<br>5.3         | \$       | -  | \$<br>5.3                      | \$<br>5.5<br>0.8    | 17.1<br>9.4                 |
| Total Fee Timber March 31, 2013             | Э  | 16.1                | <u> </u> | 0.3  | \$<br>16.4                     | \$<br>6.3           | 26.5                        |

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q4 2013. Fee Timber revenue in Q1 2014 increased \$9.9 million, or 81%, from \$12.2 million in Q4 2013 to \$22.1 million in Q1 2014. This increase was primarily attributable to a 12.0 MMBF, or 67%, increase in harvest volume combined with a \$67/MBF, or 11%, increase in average realized log price. The increase in harvest volume is attributable to strong demand in both the domestic and export log markets, combined with our typical front-loading of planned annual harvest volume into the first quarter of the year to take advantage of our abundance of low-elevation timberlands that allow for winter logging. The increase in mineral, cell tower and other revenue is due primarily to revenue from commercial thinning which had no counterpart in Q1 2013. Commercial thinning consists of the selective thinning of pre-merchantable timber stands for which we can sell the timber while at the same time improve the growth characteristics of the stand.

Operating income increased \$6.1 million, or nearly tripled, from \$3.1 million in Q4 2013 to \$9.2 million in Q1 2014 primarily as a result of the aforementioned 67% increase in harvest volume and 11% increase in average realized log prices. Cost of sales increased 66% due to the increase in harvest volume. Further lifting cost of sales was the increase in the Funds' share of harvest volume, which grew from 38% in Q4 2013 to 53% in Q1 2014, leading to an increase in depletion expense on a per MBF basis. The Funds' tree farms have a higher basis and correspondingly higher depletion rate as they were acquired more recently than the Partnership's tree farms.

Fee Timber revenue from the Funds increased \$6.5 million, or 144%, from \$4.5 million in Q4 2013 to \$11.0 million in Q1 2014 due to a 9.1 MMBF, or 134%, increase in harvest volume and a \$70/MBF, or 12%, increase in realized log prices. These same factors combined to convert an operating loss of \$227,000 in Q4 2013 to operating income of \$3.1 million in Q1 2014.

**Comparing Q1 2014 to Q1 2013.** Fee Timber revenue increased \$5.7 million, or 35%, from \$16.4 million in Q1 2013 to \$22.1 million in Q1 2014. The increase was the result of a 3.4 MMBF, or 13%, increase in harvest volume, combined with a \$91/MBF, or 15%, increase in realized average log price. The increases in both harvest volume and realized log price are attributable to stronger demand in both the export and domestic log markets compared to a year ago. Operating income increased \$2.9 million, or 46%, from \$6.3 million in Q1 2013 to \$9.2 million in Q1 2014.

Fee Timber revenue from the Funds increased \$5.7 million, or 107%, from \$5.3 million in Q1 2013 to \$11.0 million in Q1 2014 on a 6.5 MMBF, or 69%, increase in harvest volume and a \$148/MBF, or 28%, increase in average realized log price. Operating income increased \$2.3 million, from \$791,000 in Q1 2013 to \$3.1 million in Q1 2014.

#### Log Volume

We harvested the following log volumes by species from the Combined tree farms, exclusive of the aforementioned timber deed sales, for the quarters ended March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2013:

| Volume (in MMBF) | Quarter Ended |         |        |         |        |         |  |  |
|------------------|---------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Sawlogs          | Mar-14        | % Total | Dec-13 | % Total | Mar-13 | % Total |  |  |
| Douglas-fir      | 18.0          | 61%     | 10.5   | 59%     | 18.3   | 69%     |  |  |
| Whitewood        | 6.7           | 22%     | 3.3    | 18%     | 3.6    | 13%     |  |  |
| Cedar            | 0.7           | 2%      | 0.4    | 2%      | 0.4    | 2%      |  |  |
| Hardwood         | 1.0           | 3%      | 1.1    | 6%      | 0.6    | 2%      |  |  |
| Pulpwood         |               |         |        |         |        |         |  |  |
| All Species      | 3.5           | 12%     | 2.6    | 15%     | 3.6    | 14%     |  |  |
| Total            | 29.9          | 100%    | 17.9   | 100%    | 26.5   | 100%    |  |  |

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q4 2013. Harvest volume increased 12.0 MMBF, or 67%, from 17.9 MMBF in Q4 2013 to 29.9 MMBF in Q1 2014. The increase reflects seasonal factors that typically lead us to front-load volume into the first quarter of the year and to take advantage of very attractive log pricing in both the domestic and export markets. This was made possible by our abundance of low-elevation timberlands that allow for winter logging. Whitewood harvest volume, as a percent of overall harvest, increased from 18% in Q4 2013 to 22% in Q1 2014 due to the higher mix of Fund harvest in Q1 2014 (53%) versus Q4 2013 (38%). The Funds' tree farms have a heavier mix of whitewood relative to the Partnership's tree farms.

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q1 2013. Harvest volume increased 3.4 MMBF, or 13%, from 26.5 MMBF in Q4 2013 to 29.9 MMBF in Q1 2014. The increase is attributable to a slightly more aggressive front-loading of harvest volume in 2014 versus 2013, brought on by log prices that were on average 15% higher in Q1 2014 versus Q1 2013. Douglas-fir harvest volume, as a percent of overall harvest, decreased from 69% in Q1 2013 to 61% in Q1 2014, while conversely, the component of whitewood harvest volume increased from 13% in Q1 2013 to 22% in Q1 2014. This shift in mix was due to a higher proportion of harvest volume coming from the Funds in Q1 2014 (53%) versus Q1 2013 (35%).

#### Log Prices

Logs from the Combined tree farms serve a number of different domestic and export markets, with domestic mills historically representing our largest market destination. Export customers consist of log brokers who historically have sold the logs primarily to Japan, and to a lesser degree, Korea. Beginning in late 2009 these log brokers began acquiring logs for export to China which has resulted in an increase in the proportion of our logs being sold into the export market and enhanced the diversification of our log markets.

We realized the following log prices by species for the quarters ended March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2013:

|              |                            | <br>Quarter Ended |    |       |    |        |  |  |  |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----|-------|----|--------|--|--|--|
|              |                            | <br>Mar-14        |    |       |    | Mar-13 |  |  |  |
| Average prio | ce realizations (per MBF): |                   |    |       |    |        |  |  |  |
| Sawlogs      |                            |                   |    |       |    |        |  |  |  |
|              | Douglas-fir                | \$<br>765         | \$ | 711   | \$ | 670    |  |  |  |
|              | Whitewood                  | 695               |    | 648   |    | 587    |  |  |  |
|              | Cedar                      | 1,406             |    | 1,203 |    | 1,125  |  |  |  |
|              | Hardwood                   | 599               |    | 563   |    | 519    |  |  |  |
| Pulpwood     | All Species                | 269               |    | 247   |    | 286    |  |  |  |
| Overall      |                            | 701               |    | 634   |    | 610    |  |  |  |

The following table compares the dollar and percentage change in log prices from Q4 2013 and Q1 2013 to Q1 2014:

|          |             | Change     | e to March 2014 | from Quarter Ende | 1   |
|----------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----|
|          |             | <br>Dec-13 | 3               | Mar-13            | 3   |
|          |             | <br>\$/MBF | %               | \$/MBF            | %   |
| Sawlogs  | Douglas-fir | \$<br>54   | 8%              | \$ 95             | 14% |
|          | Whitewood   | 47         | 7%              | 108               | 18% |
|          | Cedar       | 203        | 17%             | 281               | 25% |
|          | Hardwood    | 36         | 6%              | 80                | 15% |
| Pulpwood | All Species | 22         | 9%              | (17)              | -6% |
| Overall  |             | 67         | 11%             | 91                | 15% |

Overall realized log prices in Q1 2014 were \$67/MBF, or 11%, higher than Q4 2013 and \$91/MBF, or 15%, higher than Q1 2013. The overall average is heavily influenced by price movements for Douglas-fir and whitewood where we saw significant increases in Q1 2014 due to greater demand by both domestic and export markets compared to prior quarters.

Douglas-fir: Douglas-fir is noted for its structural characteristics that make it generally preferable to other softwoods for construction grade lumber and plywood. Demand and price for Douglas-fir sawlogs have historically been driven largely by the level of new home construction in the United States. Since late 2009, however, increased demand from China has also been a driver of demand and price for Douglas-fir sawlogs. Increased demand in both the domestic and export markets during Q1 2014 served to lift realized Douglas-fir log prices \$54/MBF, or 8%, versus Q4 2013, and \$95/MBF, or 14%, versus Q1 2013.

Whitewood: Whitewood is a term used to describe several softwood species, but for us primarily refers to western hemlock. Though generally considered to be of a lower quality than Douglas-fir, these logs are also used for manufacturing construction grade lumber. Historically, there has been a modest export market for whitewood logs, with most of this volume going to Korea. Beginning in late 2009 this changed as the China log export market demonstrated an appetite for softwood logs with little apparent express preference as to species. Increased demand for softwood in both the domestic and export markets during Q1 2014 served to lift realized whitewood log prices \$47/MBF, or 7%, versus Q4 2013, and \$108/MBF, or 18%, versus Q1 2013.

Cedar: Cedar is a minor component in most timber stands in our region and is used generally to produce products for outdoor applications such as fencing, siding, and decking. Although there is a link between demand for these products and housing starts, this link is not as strong as with most other softwood species. Cedar prices tend to be seasonal, with manufacturers generally buying volume early in the year to prepare for spring sales associated with repair and remodel projects. As such, average realized log prices for cedar increased \$203/MBF, or 17%, from Q4 2013 to Q1 2014. Compared to Q1 2013, average realized cedar prices were \$281/MBF, or 25%, higher in Q1 2014 due to reduced supply of cedar logs in the market.

Hardwood: Hardwood is an ancillary product of Pacific Northwest log harvest volume, and at times this product's pricing will vary inversely to harvest volume. Hardwood can refer to many different species, but on our tree farms primarily consists of red alder. The local mills that process red alder sawlogs are using the resource to manufacture lumber for use in furniture and cabinet construction. Given the relatively small volume of hardwood logs that we produce, the quality and species attributes of the volume can have an outsized impact on our price realizations, although of course that same factor means that these variations have relatively little impact on our Fee Timber revenues. Hardwood prices increased \$36/MBF, or 6%, from Q4 2013 to Q1 2014, and increased \$80/MBF, from Q1 2013 to Q1 2014.

*Pulpwood:* Pulpwood is a lower quality conifer or hardwood log unsuitable for the manufacture of lumber, but useful to produce wood chips for the pulp and paper industry. During the recession, many timberland owners deferred harvest for several years and domestic mills severely curtailed operations. Pulp mills rely on woodchips either from sawmill residuals or chipped from whole logs (pulpwood). Both of these sources were in short supply during the recession. With recent increased production at local sawmills, and commensurate increase in residual woodchips, pulp mills have become less dependent on whole logs which has led to generally declining prices for pulpwood. Notwithstanding this general trend, pulpwood prices increased \$22/MBF, or 9%, from Q4 2013 to Q1 2014. Pulpwood prices decreased \$17/MBF, or 6%, from Q1 2013 to Q1 2014.

#### Customers

The ultimate decision of whether to sell our logs into the export or domestic market is based on the net proceeds we receive after taking into account both the delivered log prices paid by a prospective customer and the cost to deliver logs to that customer. As such, realized log prices are influenced by marketing decisions predicated on net stumpage values rather than focusing exclusively on the delivered log price. Our reported log price realizations will reflect our properties' proximity to customers as well as the broader log market.

The table below categorizes logs sold by customer type for the quarters ended March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2013:

|                |       | Q1 2014 |               |       | Q4 2013 |        | Q1 2013 |        |       |  |  |
|----------------|-------|---------|---------------|-------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-------|--|--|
|                | Volum | ie      |               | Volum | e       |        | Volum   | e      |       |  |  |
| Destination    | MMBF  | %       | Price         | MMBF  | %       | Price  | MMBF    | %      | Price |  |  |
| Export brokers | 12.5  | 42%     | <b>\$</b> 793 | 8.1   | 33%     | \$ 737 | 6.9     | 26% \$ | 672   |  |  |
| Domestic mills | 13.9  | 46%     | 728           | 7.2   | 52%     | 659    | 16.0    | 60%    | 657   |  |  |
| Pulpwood       | 3.5   | 12%     | 269           | 2.6   | 14%     | 247    | 3.6     | 14%    | 286   |  |  |
| Subtotal       | 29.9  | 100%    | 701           | 17.9  | 100%    | 634    | 26.5    | 100%   | 610   |  |  |
|                |       |         |               |       |         |        |         |        |       |  |  |
| Timber deed    |       |         |               |       |         |        |         |        |       |  |  |
| sale           |       |         |               | 0.4   |         | 211    |         |        | -     |  |  |
| Total          | 29.9  |         |               | 18.3  | ·       |        | 26.5    | ·      |       |  |  |

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q4 2013. Volume sold to the export market increased to 42% of Q1 2014 harvest volume compared to 33% of Q4 2013 volume. Conversely, volume sold to the domestic market decreased to 46% of Q1 2014 harvest volume compared to 52% of Q4 2013 harvest volume. Prices in both markets increased significantly due to strong demand, with export prices increasing \$56/MBF, or 8%, and domestic prices increasing \$69/MBF, or 10%. As a percentage of total volume harvested, our sales to the pulpwood market declined from 14% in Q4 2013 to 12% in Q1 2014 notwithstanding a \$22/MBF, or 9%, increase in pulpwood prices.

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q1 2013. Volume sold to export brokers as a percentage of total harvest increased to 42% in Q1 2014 from 26% for the corresponding period in the prior year, with a \$121/MBF, or 18%, increase in realized price from this customer base. In contrast, volumes sold to domestic mills decreased to 46% of total volume in Q1 2014 compared to 60% in Q1 2013, notwithstanding a \$71/MBF, or 11%, increase in realized price. The relative shift in volume from the domestic market in 2013 to the export market in 2014 is due primarily to stronger demand in the export market in 2014, particularly from China. In addition, the Q1 2013 customer mix was influenced by lower hauling costs to domestic mills than to export brokers that resulted in higher net realizations from the domestic market relative to the export market. The price increases are the result of both the robust log export market and recovering U.S. housing market. The pulpwood mix decreased from 14% of harvest in Q1 2013 to 12% of harvest in Q1 2014, while prices decreased \$17/MBF, or 6%.

#### Cost of Sales

Cost of sales for the Fee Timber segment consists of harvest, haul, and harvest excise tax costs, along with depletion expense. Harvest costs will vary by terrain, with steeper slopes requiring more expensive cable logging systems and a high labor component, while more moderate slopes can be harvested utilizing mechanized equipment resulting in lower relative costs. Haul costs will vary directly in proportion to the distance traveled from the logging site to the customer, and will also reflect the impact of fuel cost variability. Taken together, harvest and haul costs represent by far the most significant direct costs incurred to convert standing timber into manufactured logs and deliver those logs to the point of sale. Harvest excise tax costs vary by state, with our typical volume-weighted average ranging from \$10/MBF to \$14/MBF.

Depletion expense represents the cost of acquiring and growing the harvested timber. The applicable depletion rate is derived each year by dividing the sum of the cost of merchantable stands of timber and capitalized road expenditures by the estimated volume of merchantable timber available for harvest at the beginning of that year. Merchantable timber in Washington and Oregon consists of age 35 and older stands and, in California, trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 16 inches or greater. The depletion rate, so derived and expressed in \$/MBF terms, is then multiplied by the volume harvested in a given period to calculate depletion expense for that period. Because of the relatively recent acquisition dates of the Funds' tree farms, the depletion rates associated with harvests from those properties are considerably higher than for harvests from the Partnership's tree farms. Partnership depletion consists primarily of historical timber cost that has been owned by the Partnership for many decades, as well as the Columbia tree farm property that was acquired in 2001.

We calculate a pooled depletion rate for volume harvested from the Partnership's tree farms. On the other hand, for the Funds we calculate separate depletion rates for each of the Fund tree farms and then present them as a blended aggregate rate weighted by the actual harvest volume from each of the tree farms.

Fee Timber cost of sales for the quarters ended March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2013, was as follows, with the first table expressing these costs in total dollars and the second table on a per MBF basis:

| (in thousands)<br>Quarter ended             | Harvest,<br>Haul and<br>Other | Depletion          | Total Fee Timber Cost of Sales | Harvest<br>Volume<br>(MMBF) |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Partnership tree farms<br>Funds' tree farms | 3,246<br>4,421                | \$<br>664<br>2,773 | \$<br>3,910<br>7,194           | 13.9<br>15.9                |
| Total Fee Timber March 31, 2014             | \$<br>7,667                   | \$<br>3,437        | \$<br>11,104                   | 29.9                        |
| Partnership tree farms<br>Funds' tree farms | 2,542<br>2,102                | \$<br>618<br>1,435 | \$<br>3,160<br>3,537           | 11.1<br>7.2                 |
| Total Fee Timber December 31, 2013          | \$<br>4,644                   | \$<br>2,053        | \$<br>6,697                    | 18.3                        |
| Partnership tree farms<br>Funds' tree farms | 3,582<br>2,310                | \$<br>939<br>1,745 | \$<br>4,521<br>4,055           | 17.1<br>9.4                 |
| Total Fee Timber March 31, 2013             | \$<br>5,892                   | \$<br>2,684        | \$<br>8,576                    | 26.5                        |

<sup>\*</sup> Volume includes 0.4 MMBF from timber deed sale.

| (Amounts per MBF)<br>Quarter ended          | Harvest,<br>Haul and<br>Other * | Depletion *     | <br>Total Fee Timber Cost of Sales * |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Partnership tree farms                      | \$<br>233                       | \$<br>48        | \$<br>280                            |
| Funds' tree farms                           | 278                             | 174             | 452                                  |
| Total Fee Timber March 31, 2014             | \$<br>257                       | \$<br>115       | \$<br>372                            |
| Partnership tree farms                      | \$<br>229                       | \$<br>56        | \$<br>285                            |
| Funds' tree farms                           | 309                             | 199             | 491                                  |
| Total Fee Timber December 31, 2013          | 259                             | 112             | 366                                  |
| Partnership tree farms<br>Funds' tree farms | \$<br>209<br>246                | \$<br>55<br>186 | \$<br>264<br>431                     |
| Total Fee Timber March 31, 2013             | 223                             | 101             | 324                                  |

<sup>\*</sup> Timber deed sale volumes are excluded in the per MBF computation for harvest, haul and other costs but included in the per MBF computation for depletion and total cost of sales.

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q4 2013. Cost of sales increased \$4.4 million, or 66%, from Q4 2013 to Q1 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to a 63% increase in harvest volume – including the timber deed sales – from Q4 2013 to Q1 2014. Also adding to the increase in cost of sales was a \$3/MBF, or 3%, increase in the depletion rate for the Combined tree farms that was a result of the Funds' share of harvest volume, including timber deed sales, increasing from 39% of Combined harvest in Q4 2013 to 53% of Combined harvest in Q1 2014, as well as a \$257,000 increase in commercial thinning cost of sales, which is included in the "Harvest, Haul and Other" column in the table above. Depletion rates for the Funds' tree farms are higher than depletion rates for the Partnership's tree farms because the former were purchased more recently.

Comparing Q1 2014 to Q1 2013. Cost of sales increased \$2.5 million, or 29%, in Q1 2014 compared to the corresponding period in 2013 due to a 13% increase in harvest volume. Also adding to the increase in cost of sales was a \$14/MBF, or 14%, increase in the depletion rate for the Combined tree farms that was a result of the Funds' share of harvest volume increasing from 35% of Combined harvest in Q1 2013 to 53% in Q1 2014; a \$34/MBF, or 15%, increase in per MBF harvest, haul, and other costs resulting from more expensive cable logging systems and hauling costs in Q1 2014 versus Q1 2013; and a \$460,000 increase in commercial thinning cost of sales, which is included in the "Harvest, Haul and Other" column in the table above. Depletion rates for the Funds' tree farms are higher than depletion rates for the Partnership's tree farms because the former were purchased more recently.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Fee Timber operating expenses include the cost of both maintaining existing roads and building temporary roads for harvesting, silviculture costs, and management overhead expenses. For the quarters ended March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and March 31, 2013, operating expenses were \$1.8 million, \$2.4 million, and \$1.6 million, respectively. The \$623,000 decline in operating expenses from Q4 2013 to Q1 2014 was attributable to a \$693,000 decrease in road related expenses from \$1.1 million in Q4 2013 to \$439,000 in Q1 2014 as these costs came down to more typical levels following heavy spending during Q4 2013 to prepare for harvest activity in 2014. The \$231,000 increase in operating expenses from Q1 2013 to Q1 2014 was attributable to increased management overhead expenses associated with managing the Funds.

#### **Timberland Management & Consulting**

The TM&C segment primarily develops timberland investment portfolios on behalf of the Funds. As of March 31, 2014, the TM&C segment managed our three private equity timber funds, which own a combined 91,000 acres of commercial timberland in western Washington, northwestern Oregon and northern California with total assets under management of \$302 million. Fund III is currently in the drawdown period to invest its \$180 million of committed capital, 5% of which represents \$9 million as the Partnership's co-investment share. As of March 31, 2014, Fund III had \$108 million of committed capital remaining to invest, which includes over \$5 million from the Partnership. The drawdown period for Fund III commenced on July 31, 2012, and will last for three years or until all of the committed capital is invested, whichever occurs first.

#### **Fund Capital**

The following table provides detail behind committed and called capital by the Funds as of March 31, 2014.

|               | Total Fund |            |    |                |    | Partnership C | Distributions |                |    |          |
|---------------|------------|------------|----|----------------|----|---------------|---------------|----------------|----|----------|
| (in millions) | Co         | Commitment |    | Called Capital |    | Commitment    |               | Called Capital |    | Received |
| Fund I        | \$         | 62         | \$ | 59             | \$ | 12            | \$            | 12             | \$ | 1        |
| Fund II       |            | 84         |    | 83             |    | 17            |               | 17             |    | 7        |
| Fund III      |            | 180        |    | 72             |    | 9             |               | 3              |    | 0        |
| Total         | \$         | 326        | \$ | 214            | \$ | 38            | \$            | 32             | \$ | 8        |

The Partnership received combined distributions from Funds I and II of \$781,000 and \$472,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Fund distributions are paid from available Fund cash, generated primarily from the harvest and sale of timber after paying all Fund expenses and management fees. During Q3 2013, Fund II made a special distribution of \$14.1 million to its investors, financed by the closing of a \$14 million timberland mortgage. The Partnership's portion of this special distribution was \$2.7 million, and is included in the "Distributions Received" column in the above table. In addition to receiving its co-investment-based share of fund distributions, the Partnership also earned investment and timberland management fees from the Funds which totaled \$875,000 and \$673,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These fees and distributions are eliminated in consolidation because the Funds' financial statements are consolidated with the Partnership's.

#### **Revenue and Operating Loss**

Revenue and expense generated through the management of the Funds is accounted for within the TM&C segment. Accounting guidance requires us to consolidate the Funds' financial performance into the Partnership's financial statements because the Partnership controls the Funds. As such, all fees earned by the TM&C segment associated with managing the Funds are eliminated from revenue in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements. This fee revenue is an expense to the Fee Timber segment which is also eliminated when the Funds are consolidated into the Partnership's financial statements. The Partnership owns 20% of Funds I and II and 5% of Fund III. Accordingly, the Partnership's income attributable to its unitholders reflects 80% of the fees paid by Funds I and II to the Partnership and 95% of such fees paid by Fund III.

Revenue and operating loss for the TM&C segment for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

| (in thousands, except invested  | Quarter Ended |              |                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------|--------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| capital, volume and acre data)  | Mar-14        |              | Mar-13               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue internal Intersegment eliminations  | •             | 75 \$<br>75) | 673<br>(673)         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue external  | \$            | - \$         | -                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating income internal Intersegment eliminations                                   | •             | 11 \$<br>21) | 20<br>(532)          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating loss external   |               | 10) \$       | (512)                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invested capital (in millions) Harvest volume for Funds (MMBF) Acres under management | •             | 39 \$<br>5.9 | 195<br>9.4<br>80,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TM&C reported no revenue for the first quarters in 2014 or 2013 after eliminating \$875,000 and \$673,000, respectively, of revenue earned by the Partnership from managing the Funds. The fees earned from managing the Funds include a variable component related to harvest volume from the Funds' tree farms, and a fixed component related to invested capital and acres under management. The increase in fees is due to a combination of the Q4 2013 acquisition by Fund III of 11,000 acres in southwest Washington, along with the increase in the Funds' Q1 2014 harvest volume compared to Q1 2013, resulting in an increase in management fees generated from the Funds, all of which are eliminated in consolidation as described above.

Operating losses generated by the TM&C segment for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$610,000 and \$512,000, respectively, after eliminating revenue earned from managing the Funds as discussed above and eliminating some intersegment expense related to management of Fund properties. The increase in operating loss is attributable to growth in the Funds' acres under management and increased costs associated with placing Fund III's remaining capital.

#### **Real Estate**

The Partnership's Real Estate segment produces its revenue primarily from the sale of land within its 2,900-acre portfolio and sales of development rights and tracts from the Partnership's timberland portfolio, together with residential and commercial property rents from our Port Gamble and Poulsbo properties. Real Estate holdings are located in the Washington counties of Pierce, Kitsap, and Jefferson with sales of land for this segment typically falling into one of three general types:

- · Commercial, business park, and residential plat land sales represent land sold after development rights have been obtained and are generally sold with prescribed infrastructure improvements.
- · Rural residential lot sales that generally require some capital improvements such as zoning, road building, or utility access improvements prior to completing the sale.
- · The sale of unimproved land, which generally consists of larger acreage sales rather than single lot sales, is normally completed with very little capital investment prior to sale and may or may not have a conservation flavor.

In addition to outright sales of fee simple interests in land, such as those in the three categories outlined above, we also enter into conservation easement (CE) sales that allow us to retain harvesting rights and other timberland management rights, but bar any future subdivision of or real estate development on the property.

"Land Held for Development" on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets represents the Partnership's cost basis in land that has been identified as having greater value as development property rather than as timberland. Our Real Estate segment personnel work with local officials to acquire entitlements for further development of these parcels.

Those properties that are for sale, under contract, and for which the Partnership has an expectation they will sell within the next 12 months, are classified on our balance sheet as a current asset under "Land Held for Sale". The \$4.4 million amount currently in Land Held for Sale reflects our expectation of sales between now and the end of the first quarter of 2015, comprising 101 residential lots from the Harbor Hill project and a 366-acre conservation land sale.

Project costs that are associated directly with the development and construction of a real estate project are capitalized and then included in cost of sales when the property is sold, along with our original basis in the underlying land and the closing costs associated with the sale transaction.

Results from Real Estate operations vary significantly from period-to-period as we make multi-year investments in entitlements and infrastructure prior to selling entitled or developed land.

Real Estate revenue, gross margin (revenue less cost of land sold and closing costs) and operating income (loss) details for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are displayed in the table below:

#### (in thousands, except acre, lot and per acre/lot amounts)

| For the three months ended: |    |        |      |           | Ope   | rating    |        |     | F  | Revenue    | Gros | ss margin |
|-----------------------------|----|--------|------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|-----|----|------------|------|-----------|
| Description                 | R  | evenue | Gros | ss margin | incon | ne (loss) | Solo   | d   | pe | r acre/lot | per  | acre/lot  |
| Unimproved land             | \$ | 4,600  | \$   | 3,838     |       |           | Acres: | 535 | \$ | 8,598      | \$   | 7,174     |
| Residential                 |    | 10,797 |      | 4,045     |       |           | Lots:  | 107 |    | 100,907    |      | 37,804    |
| Total land                  |    | 15,397 |      | 7,883     |       |           |        |     |    |            |      |           |
| Rentals and other           |    | 273    |      | (33)      |       |           |        |     |    |            |      |           |
| March 31, 2014 Total        | \$ | 15,670 | \$   | 7,850     | \$    | 6,959     | _      |     |    |            |      |           |
|                             |    |        |      |           |       |           | _      |     |    |            |      |           |
| Rentals and other           |    | 276    |      | (12)      |       |           |        |     |    |            |      |           |
| March 31, 2013 Total        | \$ | 276    | \$   | (12)      | \$    | (851)     | )      |     |    |            |      |           |
|                             |    |        |      |           |       |           | _      |     |    |            |      |           |

In the first quarter of 2014, we closed on a conservation land sale for \$4.6 million as well as the sale of 107 residential lots from our Harbor Hill development in Gig Harbor, Washington for \$10.7 million. Also included in the first quarter of 2014 was the final \$87,000 of revenue recognized on a percentage-of-completion basis from a sale in December 2012 of multi-family residential units. There were no such sales in the first quarter of 2013. Otherwise, rental and other activities in our real estate segment were consistent from 2013 to 2014.

Real Estate operating expenses were \$891,000 for the first quarter of 2014 compared to \$839,000 for the first quarter of 2013. The increase is attributable to higher personnel costs resulting from the increased activity in the Real Estate segment.

#### **Environmental Remediation**

The environmental remediation liability represents management's best estimate of payments to be made to remediate and monitor certain areas in and around the townsite/millsite of Port Gamble, and at Port Ludlow, Washington.

In the second quarter of 2012 we accrued an additional \$12.5 million for Port Gamble environmental liabilities. This additional accrual was derived prior to the conclusion of negotiations with the Department of Ecology (DOE), but was the result of significant modifications to the draft Port Gamble Baywide and Millsite Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) issued by the DOE in May 2012. From mid-August 2012 through the balance of 2013, we were in regular dialogue with DOE on the development of a Clean-Up Action Plan (CAP), the negotiation of a consent decree (CD), and the potential sale of property around Gamble Bay by Pope Resources. In December of 2013, the CD and CAP were finalized and filed with Kitsap County Superior Court. The scope of the clean-up outlined in the final CAP is substantially the same as was contemplated in the second quarter of 2012 when the additional accrual was recorded. Certain unresolved issues remain; principally related to the degree to which the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), the other potentially liable party (PLP) in Port Gamble, will participate in funding the costs of clean-up.

In developing its estimate of the Port Gamble environmental liability, management has employed a Monte Carlo statistical simulation model that suggests a potential aggregate range of clean-up costs from \$11.4 million to \$15.3 million. The \$13.1 million liability recorded by the Partnership as of March 31, 2014 is based on the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile within the range, which management considers its best estimate of the most likely outcome.

The environmental liability also includes a separate remediation effort within the resort community of Port Ludlow. Early in 2012, soil vapor extraction (SVE) pilot tests were conducted in Port Ludlow with this round of testing producing somewhat inconclusive results regarding the efficacy of SVE as a remediation technique. In September 2013, the Partnership completed and submitted to the DOE a focused feasibility study of clean-up action alternatives and, in February 2014, DOE issued an opinion letter in which it concurred with the clean-up alternative recommended in the study. The Partnership has recorded a liability of \$100,000 which corresponds to the estimated cost of the clean-up alternative recommended in the study.

The environmental liability at March 31, 2014 is comprised of \$700,000 that the Partnership expects to expend in the next 12 months and \$12.5 million thereafter. Activity in the environmental remediation liability is detailed as follows:

|                              | Balances at   |        |         | Additions | Expenditures |     |            |            |  |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|-----|------------|------------|--|
|                              | the Beginning |        | to      |           | for          |     | Balance at |            |  |
| (in thousands)               | of the Period |        | Accrual |           | al Remedi    |     | J          | Period-end |  |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | \$            | 2,203  | \$      | 12,500    | \$           | 761 | \$         | 13,942     |  |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 |               | 13,942 |         | -         |              | 701 |            | 13,241     |  |
| Quarter ended March 31, 2014 |               | 13,241 |         | -         |              | 11  |            | 13,230     |  |

#### General and Administrative (G&A)

G&A expenses increased to \$1.3 million in the first quarter of 2014 from \$1.2 million in the first quarter of 2013. The increase from 2013 to 2014 is due primarily to higher incentive compensation expense that is tied to a strong relative unit price performance.

#### Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net includes interest income, interest expense and capitalized interest components. Excluding capitalized interest, net interest expense for the first quarter of 2014 was \$843,000 compared to \$522,000 for the first quarter of 2013. The increase is due primarily to an incremental \$14.0 million borrowing by Timber Fund II in August 2013 and the \$18.0 million borrowing by Fund III in December 2013.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, \$269,000 of interest expense was capitalized compared to \$167,000 for the first quarter of 2013. The increase is attributable to a higher cumulative basis for those projects under active development in our Harbor Hill project in Gig Harbor.

Our debt arrangements with Northwest Farm Credit Services (NWFCS) include an annual rebate of a portion of interest expense paid in the prior year (patronage). This NWFCS patronage program is a feature common to all of this lender's customer loan agreements. Throughout the year, we accrue an estimate of the patronage rebate that we expect to receive early in the following year. Interest expense was reduced for the patronage accrual by \$95,000 and \$54,000 in the first quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase in the patronage accrual resulted from the additional borrowings by Fund III described above.

#### **Income Tax**

The Partnership recorded tax expense of \$157,000 and a tax benefit of \$14,000 for the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Pope Resources is a limited partnership and is therefore not subject to federal income tax. Taxable income/loss is instead reported to unitholders each year on a Form K-1 for inclusion in each unitholder's income tax return. However, Pope Resources does have corporate subsidiaries that are subject to income tax, giving rise to the line item for such tax in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income.

#### **Noncontrolling interests-ORM Timber Funds**

The line item "Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests-ORM Timber Funds" represents the combination of the 80% portion of the net income or loss for Funds I and II which is attributable to third-party owners plus 95% of the net income or loss of Fund III that is similarly attributable to third-party owners of that Fund.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We ordinarily finance our business activities using operating cash flows and, where appropriate in management's assessment, commercial credit arrangements with banks or other financial institutions. Funds generated internally from operations and externally through financing are expected to provide the required resources for the Partnership's future operations and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months.

The Partnership's debt consists of mortgage debt with fixed interest rates and an operating line of credit with Northwest Farm Credit Services (NWFCS). The mortgage debt includes \$29.8 million in term loans with NWFCS structured in four tranches maturing from 2015 through 2025. In addition, we have mortgaged the commercial office building on Seventh Avenue in Poulsbo, Washington using a \$3 million loan from NWFCS maturing in 2023. Our \$20.0 million operating line of credit matures August 1, 2015 and we had no balance drawn as of March 31, 2014. The line of credit carries a variable interest rate that is based on the one-month LIBOR rate plus applicable margins determined by certain financial covenants.

These debt agreements contain covenants that are measured quarterly. Among the covenants measured is a requirement that the Partnership not exceed a maximum debt-to-total-capitalization ratio of 30%, with total capitalization calculated using fair market (vs. carrying) value of timberland, roads and timber. The Partnership is in compliance with these covenants as of March 31, 2014 and expects to remain in compliance for at least the next twelve months.

Fund II has a timberland mortgage comprised of two tranches with fixed interest rates totaling \$25 million with MetLife Insurance Company. The tranches are non-amortizing and mature in September 30, 2020. The loans allow for, but do not require, annual principal payments of up to 10% of outstanding principal without incurring a make-whole premium.

In December 2013, Fund III entered into a fixed-rate, \$18.0 million timberland mortgage payable to NWFCS to fund a portion of the purchase of approximately 11,000 acres of timberland in southwest Washington. The mortgage is collateralized by all of Fund III's timberland, is non-amortizing and matures in December 2023.

The \$13.9 million increase in cash generated for the three months ended March 31 2014 compared to March 31, 2013 is explained in the following table:

|   | Three months<br>ended |         |    |         |        |         |  |
|---|-----------------------|---------|----|---------|--------|---------|--|
| (in thousands)  | M                     | ar-14   |    | Change  | Mar-13 |         |  |
| Cash provided by operations                               | \$                    | 20,216  | \$ | 15,667  | \$     | 4,549   |  |
| Reforestation and roads                                   |                       | (302)   |    | (112)   |        | (190)   |  |
| Buildings and equipment                                   |                       | (79)    |    | (36)    |        | (43)    |  |
| Cash used in investing activities                         |                       | (381)   |    | (148)   |        | (233)   |  |
| Financing activities                                      |                       |         |    |         |        |         |  |
| Repayment of line of credit and long-term debt            |                       | (29)    |    | (5)     |        | (24)    |  |
| Cash distributions to unitholders                         |                       | (2,449) |    | (449)   |        | (2,000) |  |
| Proceeds from preferred stock issuance - ORM Timber Funds |                       | 125     |    | 125     |        | -       |  |
| Payroll taxes paid upon unit net settlements              |                       | (196)   |    | 45      |        | (241)   |  |
| Cash distributions to fund investors, net of              |                       |         |    |         |        |         |  |
| distributions to Partnership                              |                       | (3,219) |    | (1,291) |        | (1,928) |  |
| Other   |                       | -       |    | (1)     |        | 1       |  |
| Cash used in financing activities                         |                       | (5,768) |    | (1,575) |        | (4,192) |  |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents                 | \$                    | 14,067  | \$ | 13,943  | \$     | 124     |  |

The increase in cash provided by operating activities of \$15.7 million resulted primarily from the real estate sales described earlier, as well as a 13% increase in harvest volume and 15% increase in log prices.

Cash used in investing activities during 2014 increased by \$148,000 compared to 2013 due primarily to greater investments in reforestation and roads.

Cash used in financing activities increased in the current year by \$1.6 million due primarily to higher distributions to unitholders and to the Funds' investors in 2014 driven by stronger financial performance by both the Partnership and the Funds.

As of March 31, 2014, \$113 million of capital commitment remains for Fund III, which includes a remaining commitment by the Partnership of \$5 million. The drawdown period for Fund III ends on the earlier of July 31, 2015 or when all of the committed capital is placed.

We receive distributions associated with each of our Fund co-investments which have totaled \$8 million since we began receiving distributions from Fund I in 2007. Of this amount, \$5.3 million have been sourced by Fund operations and \$2.7 million by Fund debt financing. In addition to these distributions, the Partnership receives management fees as outlined above.

#### **Seasonality**

**Fee Timber.** We are able to conduct year-round harvest activities on the 69,200-acre Hood Canal tree farm and 23,000 acres of the Funds' properties because these properties are concentrated at low elevations. In contrast, Columbia tree farm's 41,300 acres and the remaining 68,000 acres of the Funds' timberlands are at a higher elevation where harvest activities are generally not possible during the winter months because snow precludes access to the lands. Generally, we concentrate our harvests from our lower elevation tree farms in those months when weather limits operations on other properties, thus taking advantage of reduced competition for log supply to our customers and improving prices realized. As such, when these various tree farms are combined, we can operate so that the pattern of quarterly volumes harvested is flatter than would be the case if looking at one tree farm in isolation.

**Timberland Management & Consulting.** Management revenue generated by this segment is made up of asset and timberland management fees. These fees, which primarily relate to our activities on behalf of the Funds and are eliminated in consolidation, vary based upon the amount of capital managed, the number of acres managed, and the volume of timber harvested from properties owned by the Funds and are not expected to be significantly seasonal.

**Real Estate.** While Real Estate results are not expected to be seasonal, the nature of the activities in this segment will likely result in periodic large transactions that will have significant positive impacts on both revenue and operating income of the Partnership in periods in which these transactions close, and relatively limited revenue and income in other periods. While the variability of these results is not primarily a function of seasonal weather patterns, we do expect to see some seasonal fluctuations in this segment because of the general effects of weather on Pacific Northwest development activities.

#### **Capital Expenditures and Commitments**

Capital expenditures for the full year 2014 are projected to be approximately \$10.8 million, of which approximately \$8.2 million relates to land development projects primarily in Gig Harbor. Projected capital expenditures are subject to permitting timetables, suitable weather for construction of such improvements, and progress towards closing on specific land transactions.

#### **ACCOUNTING MATTERS**

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

An accounting policy is deemed to be "critical" if it is important to a company's results of operations and financial condition, and requires significant judgment and estimates on the part of management in its application. The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts reported in the financial statements and related disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions. Management believes its most critical accounting policies and estimates relate to the calculation of timber depletion as well as modeling performed to determine liabilities for matters such as environmental remediation, and potential asset impairments.

For a further discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates see Accounting Matters in the Management Discussion and Analysis section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. We have not adopted any new accounting policies or principles during the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

The consolidated fixed-rate debt outstanding had a fair value of approximately \$80.1 and \$77.5 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, based on the prevailing interest rates for similar financial instruments. A change in interest rate on fixed-rate debt will affect the fair value of debt, whereas a change in the interest rate on variable-rate debt will affect interest expense and cash flows payable by the Partnership. A hypothetical 1% change in prevailing interest rates would change the fair value of fixed-rate long-term debt obligations by \$3.4 million. We are not subject to material foreign currency risk, derivative risk, or similar uncertainties.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Partnership's management maintains a system of internal controls, which management views as adequate to promote the timely identification and reporting of material, relevant information. Those controls include (1) requiring executive management and all managers in accounting roles to sign and adhere to a Code of Conduct and (2) implementation of a confidential hotline for employees to contact the Audit Committee directly with financial reporting concerns. Additionally, the Partnership's senior management team meets regularly to discuss significant transactions and events affecting the Partnership's operations. The Partnership's President & Chief Executive Officer and Vice President & Chief Financial Officer ("Executive Officers") lead these meetings and consider whether topics discussed represent information that should be disclosed under generally accepted accounting principles and the rules of the SEC. The Board of Directors of the Partnership's general partner includes an Audit Committee. The Audit Committee reviews the earnings release and all reports on Form 10-Q and 10-K prior to their filing. The Audit Committee is responsible for hiring the Partnership's external auditors and meets with those auditors at least eight times each year, including regularly scheduled executive sessions outside the presence of management.

Our Executive Officers are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures. They have designed such controls to ensure that others make all material information known to them within the organization. Management regularly evaluates ways to improve internal controls.

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q our Executive Officers completed an evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures and have determined them to be effective. There have been no changes to internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II- OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Partnership may be subject to legal proceedings and claims that may have a material adverse impact on its business. Management is not aware of any current legal proceedings or claims that are expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse impact on its business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to statutory and regulatory risks that currently limit, and may increasingly limit, our ability to generate income. Our ability to grow and harvest timber can be significantly impacted by legislation, regulations or court rulings that restrict or stop forest practices. For example, events that focus media attention upon natural disasters and damage to timberlands have at various times brought increasing public attention to forestry practices. Additional regulations, whether or not adopted in response to such events, may make it more difficult or expensive for us to harvest timber and may reduce the amount of harvestable timber on our properties. These and other restrictions on logging, planting, road building, fertilizing, managing competing vegetation, and other activities can significantly increase the cost or reduce available inventory thereby reducing income. Any such additional restrictions likely would have a similar effect on our Timberland Management & Consulting operations, particularly in the case of the Funds.

Our real estate holdings are highly illiquid, and changes in economic and regulatory factors may affect the value of our properties or the timing of the proceeds, if any, that we expect to receive on the sale of such properties. The value of our real estate investments, and our income from Real Estate operations, is sensitive to changes in the economic and regulatory environment, as well as various land-use regulations and development risks, including the ability to obtain the necessary permits and land entitlements that would allow us to maximize the revenue from our real estate investments. Our real estate investments are long-term in nature, which raises the risk that unforeseen changes in the economy or laws surrounding development activities may have an adverse effect on our investments. These investments often are highly illiquid and thus may not generate cash flow if and when needed to support our other operations. Further, we occasionally announce contracts relating to the sale of our real estate holdings, but those agreements may contain contingencies and conditions that may delay or prevent the consummation of transactions even after we have agreed to sale terms.

We are sensitive to demand and price issues relating to our sales of logs in both domestic and foreign markets. We generate Fee Timber revenue primarily by selling softwood logs to domestic mills and to third-party intermediaries who resell them to the export market. The domestic market for logs in our operating area depends heavily on U.S. housing starts. Recently, the U.S. housing market has started to improve but, to the extent the recovery in the housing market should stall, such a turn of events could have a negative impact on our operating results. The export markets for Pacific Northwest logs are significantly affected by fluctuations in United States, Japanese and, increasingly, Chinese and Korean economies, as well as by the foreign currency exchange rate between these Asian currencies and the U.S. dollar, as well as ocean transportation costs.

We have certain environmental remediation liabilities associated with our Port Gamble and former Port Ludlow resort properties, and those liabilities may increase. We currently own certain real estate at Port Gamble on the Kitsap Peninsula and, up until mid-2001, owned real estate property within the resort community of Port Ludlow in Jefferson County in western Washington. Sediments adjacent to these properties were alleged to have been impacted by operations occurring prior to our acquisition of the properties, which occurred at the time of our spinoff from Pope & Talbot, Inc. in 1985. However, as current owner of Port Gamble and based on conditions of our sale of the Port Ludlow assets, we have environmental liability for these properties under Washington State's Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA). We recently reached an agreement with the Washington State Department of Ecology ("DOE") on a consent decree ("CD") and clean-up action plan ("CAP") for the cleanup environmental remediation effort in Port Gamble Bay. Together, these documents outline the terms under which the Partnership will conduct environmental remediation as well as the specific clean-up activities to be performed. The CD and CAP were filed with the Kitsap County Superior Court in December 2013. We are also negotiating with the other "potentially liable person", the Washington State Department of Natural Resources ("DNR"), regarding its allocation of liability and its contribution towards cleanup costs.

While these negotiations are ongoing, management continues to monitor the Port Gamble and Port Ludlow cleanup processes closely. The \$13.2 million remediation accrual as of March 31, 2014 represents our current estimate of the remaining cleanup cost and most likely outcome to various contingencies within both locations. These estimates are predicated upon a variety of factors, including the proportion of costs that would be allocated to us in comparison to those allocable to DNR or other parties, the actual amount of the ultimate cleanup costs, the cost of any litigation if we cannot reach a settlement with DNR, and the outcome of any such litigation. These liabilities are based upon a number of estimates and judgments that are subject to change as the project progresses. The filing of the CD limits our legal exposure substantially, but does not eliminate it entirely. Any litigation ensuing from this matter may have the effect of distracting management and other key personnel from the day to day operation of our business. These factors, alone or in combination with other challenges, may have a material adverse effect upon our assets, income and operations.

We rely on contract loggers and truckers who are in short supply and seeking consistent work at increasing rates. We rely on contract loggers and truckers for the production and transportation, respectively, of our products to customers. During the economic downturn of 2008 and 2009 most industrial forestry firms deferred harvest, which resulted in a shortfall in demand for the contract logging and trucking work force. Many private logging and trucking companies did not survive the protracted economic downturn. As the economy has improved and companies return to harvesting, a shortage of logging contractors and truckers has developed. The remaining contractors who survived did so by reducing their workforce or, in the case of log truckers, converting their trucks to configurations suitable for highway freight hauling. This decline in the pool of available contractors has resulted in a steady increase in harvest and haul costs and market forces that are stressing continuity of work when soliciting contractor bids for a job. The commitment to more continuous work could preclude our ability to time markets, affecting total returns.

We benefit from certain tax treatment accorded to master limited partnerships, and if that status changes the holders of our units may realize less advantageous tax consequences. The Partnership is a Master Limited Partnership and is therefore not generally subject to U.S. federal income taxes. If a change in tax law (or interpretation of current tax law) caused the Partnership to become subject to income taxes, operating results would be adversely affected. We also have a handful of taxable subsidiaries. The estimation of income tax expense and preparation of income tax returns requires complex calculations and judgments. We believe the estimates and calculations used in this process are proper and reasonable and more likely than not would be sustained under examination by federal or state tax authorities, however if a federal or state taxing authority disagreed with the positions we have taken, a material change in provision for income taxes, net income, or cash flows could result.

We and our customers are dependent upon active credit markets to fund operations. We sell logs from our Fee Timber segment to mills and log brokers that in most circumstances rely upon an active credit market to fund their operations. Our Real Estate sales are also often dependent upon credit markets in order to fund acquisitions. To the extent borrowing restrictions impinge on customers' access to debt, we expect those customers to respond by reducing their expenditures, and those reductions may have the effect of directly reducing our revenues and of indirectly reducing the demand for our products. Any such outcomes could materially and adversely impact our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

We are controlled by our managing general partner. As a master limited partnership, substantially all of our day-to-day affairs are controlled by our managing general partner, Pope MGP, Inc. The board of directors of Pope MGP, Inc. serves as our board of directors, and by virtue of a stockholder agreement, each of the two individual shareholders of Pope MGP, Inc. have the ability to designate one of our directors and jointly appoint two others, with the fifth board position taken by our chief executive officer, who serves as a director by virtue of his executive position. Unitholders may remove the managing general partner only in limited circumstances, including, among other things, a vote by the holders of a two-thirds majority of the "qualified units," which means the units that have been owned by their respective holders for at least five years prior to such vote. By virtue of the terms of our agreement of limited partnership, as amended, or "partnership agreement", our managing general partner directly, and the general partner shareholders indirectly, have the ability to do the following: prevent or impede transactions that would result in a change of control of the Partnership; to prevent or, upon the approval of limited partners holding a majority of the units, to cause, the sale of the assets of the Partnership; and to cause the Partnership to take or refrain from taking certain other actions that one might otherwise perceive to be in the Partnership's best interest. Under our partnership agreement, we are required to pay to Pope MGP, Inc. an annual management fee of \$150,000, and to reimburse Pope MGP, Inc. for certain expenses incurred in managing our business.

We may incur losses as a result of natural disasters that may occur, or that may be alleged to have occurred, on our properties. Forests are subject to a number of natural hazards, including damage by fire, severe windstorms, insects and disease, flooding and landslides. Changes in global climate conditions may intensify these natural hazards. Severe weather conditions and other natural disasters can also reduce the productivity of timberlands and disrupt the harvesting and delivery of forest products. While damage from natural causes is typically localized and would normally affect only a small portion of our timberlands at any one time, these hazards are unpredictable and losses might not be so limited. While our timberlands are managed under the auspices of the Sustainable Forestry Initiative and management believes we follow sound forest management and risk mitigation procedures, and all forest operations meet or exceed the rules and regulations governing forest practices in Washington, Oregon and California, we cannot be certain that we will not be the subject of claims based on allegations that we acted improperly in managing our property. These claims may take the form of individual or class action litigation, regulatory or enforcement proceedings, or both. Any such claims could result in substantial defense costs and divert management's attention from the ongoing operation of our business, and if any such claims were successful, may result in substantial damage awards, fines or civil penalties. Consistent with the practices of other large timber companies, we do not maintain insurance against loss of standing timber on our timberlands due to natural disasters.

We compete with a number of larger competitors that may be better able than we to absorb price fluctuations, may be able to expend greater resources on production, may have greater access to capital, and may operate more efficiently than we can. We compete against much larger companies in each of our business segments. We compete with these companies for management and line personnel, as well as for purchases of relatively scarce capital assets such as land and standing timber and for sales of our products. These larger competitors may have access to larger amounts of capital and significantly greater economies of scale, and they may be better able to absorb the risks of our line of business. Moreover, the timber industry has experienced significant consolidation in recent years and, as that consolidation occurs, our relative market share decreases and the relative financial capacity of our competitors increases. While management believes the Partnership is at a competitive advantage over some of these companies because of our lack of vertical integration into forest products manufacturing, our advantageous tax structure, and management's attempts to diversify our asset base, we cannot assure readers that competition will not have a material and adverse effect on our results of operations or our financial condition.

Consolidation of sawmills in our geographic operating area may reduce competition among our customers, which could adversely affect our log prices. In the past we have experienced, and may continue to experience, consolidation of sawmills and other wood products manufacturing facilities in the Pacific Northwest. Because a portion of our cost of sales in our Fee Timber segment, which encompasses the Combined tree farms, consists of transportation costs for delivery of logs to domestic sawmills, it becomes increasingly expensive to transport logs over longer distances for sales in domestic markets. As a result, a reduction in the number of sawmills, or in the number of sawmill operators, may reduce competition for our logs, increase transportation costs, or both. These consolidations thus may have a material adverse impact upon our Fee Timber revenue or income and, as that segment has traditionally represented our largest business unit, upon our results of operation and financial condition as a whole. Any such material adverse impact on timber revenue and income as a result of regional mill consolidations will also indirectly affect our Timberland Management & Consulting segment in the context of raising capital for investment in Pacific Northwest-based timber funds.

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) – (e) None

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

- (a) None
- (b) There have been no material changes in the procedures for shareholders of the Partnership's general partner to nominate directors to the board.

#### ITEM 6. Exhibits

#### Exhibits.

| 31.1    | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).   |
|---------|--|
| 31.2    | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).   |
| 32.1    | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (furnished with this report in accordance with SEC Rel. No. 33-8238). |
| 32.2    | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (furnished with this report in accordance with SEC Rel. No. 33-8238). |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document   |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document  |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document  |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document   |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document  |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document   |
|         |  |

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on May 6, 2014.

POPE RESOURCES, A Delaware Limited Partnership

By: POPE MGP, Inc.

Managing General Partner

By: <u>/s/ David L. Nunes</u>
David L. Nunes
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: <u>/s/ Thomas M. Ringo</u> Thomas M. Ringo Vice President and CFO (Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)

#### CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

- I, David L. Nunes, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Pope Resources;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 6, 2014 /s/ David L. Nunes

David L. Nunes Chief Executive Officer

#### CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

- I, Thomas M. Ringo, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Pope Resources;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 6, 2014 /s/ Thomas M. Ringo

Thomas M. Ringo Chief Financial Officer

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Pope Resources (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David L. Nunes, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the Report.

This certification is being furnished solely to comply with the requirements of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any of the Company's filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise be deemed to be filed as part of the Report or under such Acts.

/s/ David L. Nunes

David L. Nunes Chief Executive Officer

May 6, 2014

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Pope Resources (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Thomas M. Ringo, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in the Report.

This certification is being furnished solely to comply with the requirements of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any of the Company's filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise be deemed to be filed as part of the Report or under such Acts.

/s/ Thomas M. Ringo

Thomas M. Ringo Chief Financial Officer

May 6, 2014