

#### Safe Harbor Statement

Forward-Looking Statements - Certain statements in this presentation regarding anticipated financial outcomes including Rayonier's earnings guidance, if any, business and market conditions, outlook, expected dividend rate, Rayonier's business strategies, including expected harvest schedules, timberland acquisitions, sales of non-strategic timberlands, the anticipated benefits of Rayonier's business strategies, and other similar statements relating to Rayonier's future events, developments or financial or operational performance or results, are "forward-looking statements" made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and other federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements are identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "estimate," "believe," "intend," "project," "anticipate" and other similar language. However, the absence of these or similar words or expressions does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. While management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable when made, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and undue reliance should not be placed on these statements.

The following important factors, among others, could cause actual results or events to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements that may have been made in this document: the cyclical and competitive nature of the industries in which we operate; fluctuations in demand for, or supply of, our forest products and real estate offerings; entry of new competitors into our markets; changes in global economic conditions and world events; fluctuations in demand for our products in Asia, and especially China; various lawsuits relating to matters arising out of our previously announced internal review and restatement of our consolidated financial statements; the uncertainties of potential impacts of climate-related initiatives; the cost and availability of third party logging and trucking services; the geographic concentration of a significant portion of our timberland; our ability to identify, finance and complete timberland acquisitions; changes in environmental laws and regulations regarding timber harvesting, delineation of wetlands, and endangered species, that may restrict or adversely impact our ability to conduct our business, or increase the cost of doing so; adverse weather conditions, natural disasters and other catastrophic events such as hurricanes, wind storms and wildfires, which can adversely affect our timberlands and the production, distribution and availability of our products; interest rate and currency movements; our capacity to incur additional debt; changes in tariffs, taxes or treaties relating to the import and export of our products or those of our competitors; changes in key management and personnel; our ability to meet all necessary legal requirements to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") and changes in tax laws that could adversely affect beneficial tax treatment; the cyclical nature of the real estate business generally; a delayed or weak recovery in the housing market; the lengthy, uncertain and costly process associated with the ownership, entitlement and development of real estate, especially in Florida, which also may be affected by changes in law, policy and political factors beyond our control; unexpected delays in the entry into or closing of real estate transactions; changes in environmental laws and regulations that may restrict or adversely impact our ability to sell or develop properties; the timing of construction and availability of public infrastructure; and the availability of financing for real estate development and mortgage loans.

For additional factors that could impact future results, please see Item 1A - Risk Factors in the Company's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and similar discussion included in other reports that we subsequently file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Forward-looking statements are only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no duty to update its forward-looking statements except as required by law. You are advised, however, to review any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our subsequent reports filed with the SEC.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures - To supplement Rayonier's financial statements presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"), Rayonier uses certain non-GAAP measures, including "cash available for distribution," and "Adjusted EBITDA," which are defined and further explained in this communication. Reconciliation of such measures to the nearest GAAP measures can also be found in this communication. Rayonier's definitions of these non-GAAP measures may differ from similarly titled measures used by others. These non-GAAP measures should be considered supplemental to, and not a substitute for, financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP.



# **Rayonier Today**



## Rayonier At A Glance



2.6 million \$1.7 billion of timberlands acquired since 2011



Value-added
Real Estate
Platform



Real Estate (34%)

FY18
ADJ. EBITDA \$338MM

Pacific Northwest (11%)

New Zealand

Sustainable yield of

~10 million tons
annually



Established in 1026



#### Sustainable Certifications








# Mission:

Provide industry-leading financial returns to our shareholders while serving as a responsible steward of our lands





# Highly Productive, Geographically Diversified Timberlands






## Rayonier is the Leading "Pure Play" Timber REIT








Over the last three years, Rayonier has generated 66% of its EBITDA\* from timber operations (versus 46% for the peer group).





Note: Timberland REIT Peer Group comprised of WY, PCH and CTT. Figures reflect aggregate Timberland REIT Peer Group EBITDA for 2016 – 2018, excluding corporate expenses. Other includes real estate, manufacturing and other reported segments.

\* Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations).

## Timberland vs. Wood Products Volatility







Timberland operations generally yield high EBITDA\* margins with very low volatility relative to wood products manufacturing.


<sup>\*</sup> Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations).





Based on aggregate U.S. timber segments EBITDA margin versus aggregate manufacturing segments EBITDA margin of Timber REIT peer group, including Rayonier, Weyerhaeuser, legacy Plum Creek and Potlatch.

Calculated as ratio of standard deviation to average.

### **Rayonier Portfolio Highlights**











Excludes Large Dispositions and Improved Development.

Based on Rayonier estimates; assumes current portfolio with no acquisitions or divestitures.

Based on estimated export volume sold into China market.

### **Rayonier's Strategic Priorities**

#### MANAGE FOR LONG-TERM VALUE

# ACQUIRE HIGH-QUALITY TIMBERLANDS

# OPTIMIZE PORTFOLIO VALUE

# FOCUS ON QUALITY OF EARNINGS

#### ENHANCE DISCLOSURE

- Design harvest strategy to achieve long-term, sustainable yield
- Balance biological growth, harvest cash flow and responsible stewardship
- Pursue acquisitions that improve portfolio quality and sustainable yield
- Maintain disciplined approach to acquisitions, minimize HBU speculation
- Opportunistically monetize lands where premium valuations can be achieved
- Pursue value creation activities on select properties to enhance long-term value
- Focus on harvest operations and rural land sales to support dividends
- De-emphasize sale of "non-strategic" timberlands to augment cash flow
- Establish Rayonier as industry leader in transparent disclosure
- Provide investors with meaningful information about timberland portfolio



## **Execution Against Strategy (since 2014 spin-off)**

#### MANAGE FOR LONG-TERM VALUE

# ACQUIRE HIGH-QUALITY TIMBERLANDS

#### OPTIMIZE PORTFOLIO VALUE

# FOCUS ON QUALITY OF EARNINGS

# ENHANCE DISCLOSURE

- Long range plan based on a sustainable yield harvest, with full investor visibility
- Demonstrated flexibility to adjust harvest levels to optimize long-term value
- Deferred 250,000 tons in 2018 to capitalize on stronger markets in 2019
- Acquired ~\$900 MM of timberland since spin-off (88% U.S. / 12% NZ)
- Focused acquisition efforts on high quality, CAD\* accretive properties based on market quality, site index, species mix, and merchantable timber stocking
- 2015 2018 Real Estate sales of \$437 MM at average price of \$3,079 per acre (1)
- Launched Wildlight and Richmond Hill Improved Development projects
- Redeployed \$300 MM from Large Dispositions to partially fund major acquisitions
- Dividend fully funded from recurring harvest and HBU land sales
- Reduced reliance on sales of non-HBU timberland to augment cash flow
- Created "Large Dispositions"\* category to address sale of assets for capital allocation
- Committed to industry leadership in transparent investor disclosure
- Improved disclosures in key areas such as forest productivity, sustainable yield,
   EBITDA\* per ton, age class profile, and regional supply / demand dynamics



## **Prudent Capital Structure & Financial Policy**

#### **Credit Highlights & Ratio Targets**

#### **Current Credit Ratings**

S&P: BBB- / StableMoodv's: Baa3 / Stable

#### **Credit Highlights**

- Strong Adj. EBITDA\* margins
- High EBITDA-to-FCF conversion
- Significant asset coverage
- 3.3% weighted avg. cost of debt / 100% fixed

#### **Credit Ratio Targets**

- Committed to maintaining an investment grade credit profile
- Target credit metrics include:

– Net Debt / Adj. EBITDA\*: ~4.5x

Net Debt / Asset Value: < 30%</p>

#### **Capitalization & Maturity Profile**

| (\$ in millions)                | 6/30/2019     |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Total Debt                      | \$975.0       |  |  |
| (–) Cash <sup>(1)</sup>         | (131.0)       |  |  |
| Net Debt                        | \$844.0       |  |  |
| Credit Data                     |               |  |  |
| 2018 Adjusted EBITDA*           | \$337.7       |  |  |
| 2018 Interest Expense           | \$32.1        |  |  |
| Credit Statistics               |               |  |  |
| Net Debt / Adjusted EBITDA*     | 2.5x          |  |  |
| Adj. EBITDA* / Interest Expense | 10.5x         |  |  |
| Net Debt / Enterprise Value (2) | 18%           |  |  |
| \$400                           |               |  |  |
| \$300                           |               |  |  |
| \$200                           |               |  |  |
| \$100                           |               |  |  |
| 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2      | 024 2025 2026 |  |  |

Rayonier has a strong, investment grade credit profile with significant asset coverage.



<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes \$3.2 million of restricted cash held by LKE intermediaries as of 6/30/19.

Enterprise value based on market capitalization plus net debt as of 6/30/19.

<sup>\*</sup> Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations).

#### Nimble Approach to Capital Allocation

# Invest in Our Business

- ~\$35 million invested annually in silviculture and regeneration
- Capital focused on highest IRR opportunities
- Targeted investments to unlock HBU value

# Timberland Acquisitions

- Acquired ~\$900 million of timberlands since spin-off
- Acquisitions complementary to age-class profile
- Improved portfolio site index and inventory stocking

#### Share Buybacks/ Equity Issuance

- \$101 million of stock buybacks@ \$23.76/share
- \$160 million of equity issuance
   \$27.75/share
- Focused on generating NAV accretion

#### **Dividends**

- Increased qtly. dividend by 8% to \$0.27 per share effective Q2 2018
- Funded from recurring timber and real estate operations
- Large Dispositions\* excluded from CAD\*

# Manage Our Balance Sheet

- Restructured \$155 million of New Zealand debt
- 100% of debt fixed; 3.3% avg. rate
- Maintained investment grade rating with higher debt threshold

\$35MM (1) annually for silviculture

\$900MM

acquisitions since spin-off

\$59MM

net share issuance since spin-off

3.6% yield <sup>(2)</sup> \$1.08 per share annual dividend

3.3% rate average debt cost



<sup>(2)</sup> Based on share price of \$30.30 as of 6/28/19 and annualized dividend of \$1.08 per share.


\* Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations).




# **Key Industry Trends**




# **Housing Indicators Are Improving**













#### Housing Starts Continue to Move Higher, Led by Single-Family




While total U.S. housing starts have increased for nine consecutive years, starts per capita remain below prior trough levels.



# **Housing Starts Are Key Driver of Sawtimber Pricing**






U.S. South sawtimber pricing has historically trended in line with residential construction, with a discernible inflection point at ~1.3 million starts.




#### Availability of Viable B.C. Timber Is Expected to Decline Rapidly




The mountain pine beetle epidemic is expected to significantly constrain the availability of economically viable pine inventory in B.C. for many decades.



### **China's Large Timber Supply Deficit Growing**







Softwood log and lumber imports into China are expected to remain strong given its growing timber supply deficit.



## Chinese Log & Lumber Imports Rely on NZ and Russia






New Zealand has captured a significant share of the growth in China's log import volume.





# **Deconstructing Timberland Returns**



## **U.S. South Historical Valuation Snapshot**









U.S. South timberlands have traded at an average EBITDA multiple (excluding land sales) of ~37x over the last 30 years.



## Historical Components of U.S. South Timberland Returns






U.S. South timberlands have historically been bid to a sub-3% EBITDA cap rate and have generally exhibited a negative spread to treasuries.



ource: National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries.


# **Components of Timberland Return – U.S. South**





| Return Component                  | Value Range  | Risk to Achieve | Comments  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Cash Return Expectation           |              |                 |   |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA Return                     | 2.0% – 4.5%  |                 | EBITDA yield for Southern timber, including timber harvest and non-timber income  |  |  |  |  |
| (-) Capex Investment              | (0.5%)       | 00000           | Average annualized cost of replanting / silviculture                              |  |  |  |  |
| Current Cash Flow Return          | 1.5% – 4.0%  |                 | Varies based on market quality, site index / productivity, stocking, etc.         |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Other Compor | nents of Return |   |  |  |  |  |
| Productivity Gains                | 0.5% - 1.0%  |                 | Based on improved silviculture; higher gain potential on lower quality properties |  |  |  |  |
| HBU Uplift                        | 0.0% - 1.0%  |                 | Requires market for rural property; proximity to population centers is key        |  |  |  |  |
| Return to Trend Pricing           | 0.5% – 1.5%  |                 | Higher "return-to-trend" expectation is common in more depressed markets          |  |  |  |  |
| Long-Term "Real" Price Growth     | 0.0% - 1.0%  |                 | Long-term expectation of real price increases above inflation                     |  |  |  |  |
| Total Return Expectation          |              |                 |   |  |  |  |  |
| Typical "Real" Return Expectation | 5.0% - 6.0%  | Varies          | Represents typical timberland real discount rate range                            |  |  |  |  |
| (+) Assumed Inflation             | ~2.0%        |                 | Based on approximate spread between treasuries and TIPS                           |  |  |  |  |
| "Nominal" Return Expectation      | 7.0% – 8.0%  | Varies          | Represents expected long-term, unlevered return on timberland investments         |  |  |  |  |



### **Productivity is a Key Driver of Timberland Value**









Higher site index properties generate greater harvest yields and a more valuable product mix. Improving site index by 10 feet over a 25-year harvest rotation implies ~1% annual productivity gain.



First physical site index measurement taken at age 11.
 Growth and yield predictions based on PMRC 1996 (Loblolly PMRC TR-1996-1 and Slash PMRC TR-1996-3) with FMRC Fastlob 3.0 Fertilizer response equations. Assumes mix of plantation / hardwood acreage and loblolly / slash species based on Rayonier portfolio averages.

# Rayonier Historical Real Estate / HBU Summary





Rayonier has generally sold 1.0% to 2.0% of its Southern land base annually at premiums in the range of ~50% above timberland value, with premiums improving more recently.



#### **Illustrative HBU Economics**

#### **Example: 1 Million Acre U.S. South Portfolio**

(\$ in 000s, excepts per acre values)


| (\$ in 000s, excepts per acre values)   |              |              |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Sales Pace / Premium                    | 1.0% / 50.0% | 1.5% / 75.0% |
| Illustrative Asset Profile              |              |              |
| U.S. South Portfolio Acres (000s)       | 1,000        | 1,000        |
| Timberland Value per Acre (1)           | \$1,791      | \$1,791      |
| Implied Total Asset Value               | \$1,791,000  | \$1,791,000  |
|   |              |              |
| Implied HBU Inputs                      |              |              |
| # of Acres Sold Annually                | 10,000       | 15,000       |
| Implied HBU Price per Acre              | \$2,687      | \$3,134      |
| HBU Premium per Acre                    | \$896        | \$1,343      |
|   |              |              |
| HBU Contribution to Return              |              |              |
| HBU Sales Revenue                       | \$26,865     | \$47,014     |
| (–) Real Estate Overhead Costs (2)      | (2,687)      | (4,701)      |
| Implied Adjusted EBITDA                 | \$24,179     | \$42,312     |
| (–) Acquire Replacement Timberlands (3) | (17,910)     | (26,865)     |
| Residual Cash Flow                      | \$6,269      | \$15,447     |
|   |              |              |
| Residual Cash Flow / Total Asset Value  | 0.4%         | 0.9%         |

#### **HBU Incremental Return Sensitivity Analysis**

(% incremental return)

|         |       | Premium to Timberland Value |      |      |      |      |  |
|---------|-------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|--|
|         |       | -                           | 25%  | 50%  | 75%  | 100% |  |
|         | 0.50% | (0.1%)                      | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.4% |  |
| % of    | 0.75% | (0.1%)                      | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.6% |  |
| Acreage | 1.00% | (0.1%)                      | 0.1% | 0.4% | 0.6% | 0.8% |  |
| Sold    | 1.25% | (0.1%)                      | 0.2% | 0.4% | 0.7% | 1.0% |  |
|         | 1.50% | (0.2%)                      | 0.2% | 0.5% | 0.9% | 1.2% |  |

#### Peer Group Real Estate Prices (2017 – 2018) (4)



A well-designed HBU program should be able to generate roughly 0.5% to 1.0% of incremental return relative to underlying timberland returns (depending on the quality of HBU product).



Assumes overhead costs of 10% of sales.

Represents average Real Estate segment sales price per acre for 2017 – 2018.





Assumes additional timberlands acquired to replace acreage sold as HBU.

#### **Deconstructing Timberland Returns – Illustrative Acquisitions**

#### Illustrative Acquisition Scenario A – Low Productivity Asset / Bottom Quartile Market


| Assumed Portfolio Profile    |         |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Location                     | MS-1    |  |  |  |
| Composite Stumpage Price (1) | \$10.62 |  |  |  |
| Site Index                   | 65      |  |  |  |
| Volume per Acre per Year (2) | 3.2     |  |  |  |
| Implied EBITDA per Acre (3)  | \$34    |  |  |  |
| Assumed Value per Acre       | \$1,500 |  |  |  |






#### Illustrative Acquisition Scenario B - High Productivity Asset / Top Quartile Market

| Assumed Portfolio Profile    |         |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Location                     | FL-1    |  |  |  |  |
| Composite Stumpage Price (1) | \$21.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Site Index                   | 80      |  |  |  |  |
| Volume per Acre per Year (2) | 5.0     |  |  |  |  |
| Implied EBITDA per Acre (3)  | \$106   |  |  |  |  |
| Assumed Value per Acre       | \$2,500 |  |  |  |  |





Based on TimberMart-South 2018 regional average composite stumpage price assuming mix of 50% pulpwood, 30% chip-n-saw and 20% sawtimber.
 Growth and yield predictions based on PMRC 1996 (Loblolly PMRC TR-1996-1 and Slash PMRC TR-1996-3) with FMRC Fastlob 3.0 Fertilizer response equations. Assumes

Assumes non-timber income roughly offsets timber management costs for illustration purposes.



<sup>(2)</sup> Growth and yield predictions based on PMRC 1996 (Loblolly PMRC TR-1996-1 and Slash PMRC TR-1996-3) with FMRC Fastlob 3.0 Fertilizer response equations. Assumes mix of plantation / hardwood acreage and loblolly / slash species based on Rayonier portfolio averages.


# **Timber Segments Overview**




#### Southern Timber – Portfolio Overview

#### **Highlights / Location**

- Acreage: 1.8 million acres
- Sustainable Yield: 5.9 6.3 million tons
- Planted / Plantable: 67%
- Average Site Index: 73 feet at age 25(1)
- 2018 EBITDA\*: \$102.8 million
- Sustainable Forestry Initiative Certification










Site index reflects the average height of the dominant and codominant trees at a base age of 25 (U.S. South).
 Age class profile as of 12/31/18 per 2018 Form 10-K.
 Source: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Society of American Foresters Journal of Forestry.
 \* Non-GAAP measure (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations).

## RYN Concentrated in Strongest U.S. South Markets


- Supply / demand dynamics are highly localized, as logs generally travel less than 100 miles
- Timber consumption vs. inventory growth remains much more tensioned in Coastal Atlantic markets




Approximately 59% of Rayonier's Southern timberlands are located in the top three markets (ranked by TimberMart-South composite stumpage pricing).



# Lumber Capacity in the U.S. South Continues to Expand






Lumber production and capacity in the U.S. South is expected to grow significantly over the next few years.



## **U.S. South Export Opportunity**






|                  | U.S. South Exports to China and India (in Green Tons) |         |         |         |         |           |           |           |
|------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Port             | 2012  | 2013    | 2014    | 2015    | 2016    | 2017      | 2018      | 2019 YTD  |
| Charleston, SC   | 16,332  | 90,400  | 150,182 | 78,312  | 247,913 | 299,324   | 212,204   | 148,365   |
| Savannah, GA     | 66,181  | 157,220 | 375,326 | 209,269 | 213,083 | 328,464   | 1,001,456 | 905,552   |
| Wilmington, NC   | 25,286  | 70,409  | 78,417  | 42,756  | 138,323 | 312,071   | 397,042   | 405,064   |
| Jacksonville, FL | -   | 1,883   | 72,256  | 62,902  | 92,651  | 207,354   | 190,148   | 155,333   |
| Norfolk, VA      | 19,199  | 10,042  | 22,499  | 12,336  | 33,302  | 44,300    | 36,386    | 5,904     |
| Mobile, AL       | 930   | 650     | 5,778   | 2,018   | 1,407   | 40,891    | 125,723   | 68,488    |
| Total            | 127,928   | 330,604 | 704,457 | 407,592 | 726,680 | 1,232,406 | 1,962,959 | 1,688,707 |

Rayonier is well positioned to capitalize on U.S. South export opportunities, although tariffs on log exports to China have hampered export market growth more recently.




## Softwood Pulpwood Demand Growth Has Been Strong





U.S. South growth in demand for softwood pulpwood has remained strong and is poised to accelerate on expanding OSB capacity investments.



## **Pulpwood Supports Composite Prices in Strong Markets**









As sawtimber pricing has eroded in the U.S. South, increased pulpwood pricing has offset the overall composite price decline in strong markets where Rayonier focuses its ownership.




## Rayonier Leads the Sector In U.S. South EBITDA\* / Ton

- EBITDA\* per ton best captures profitability per unit of sales
- EBITDA\* per acre can be misleading due to differential rates of harvest







Rayonier's sector-leading EBITDA\* per Ton has increased by over 25% since 2012.



Source: WY figures pro forma for PCL combination. EBITDA, harvest volume and acreage data for all peers based on public filings. Per acre data calculated based on estimated average acres for each year (i.e., average of year-end and prior year-end acres), adjusted for major acquisitions/dispositions (i.e., pro-rated from date of transaction).


\* Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations).


#### Pacific Northwest Timber – Portfolio Overview


#### **Highlights / Location**

- Acreage: 379,000 acres
- Sustainable Yield: 1.4 million tons
- Planted / Plantable: 77%
- Average Site Index: 109 feet at age 50<sup>(1)</sup>
- 2018 EBITDA\*: \$40.9 million
- Sustainable Forestry Initiative Certification













#### **Diverse Species & End Markets**






Rayonier's Pacific Northwest portfolio provides diversity of products and end-markets.



## **Pacific Northwest Demand & Pricing Trends**





Pacific Northwest pricing has been impacted recently by tariffs on exports to China.



#### Rayonier's Northwest EBITDA\* / Ton Is Improving

- Following November 2014 announcements, Rayonier reduced its rate of harvest in the Northwest
- EBITDA\* per ton and EBITDA\* per acre both declined as a result, but have improved since








Rayonier's Northwest EBITDA\* per ton has been below the peer group due to its historical proportion of hemlock volume (vs. Doug-fir) and, more recently, due to its reduced rate of harvest.




#### **New Zealand Timber – Portfolio Overview**


#### **Highlights / Location**

- Acreage: 410,000 acres (292,000 productive acres)
- Sustainable Yield: 2.4 2.6 million tons
- Planted / Plantable: 71%
- Average Site Index: 94 feet at age 20<sup>(1)</sup>
- 2018 EBITDA\*: \$90.8 million
- Forest Stewardship Council Certification










Non-GAAP measure (see Appendix for definitions and RYN reconciliations)




### **Diversified Mix of Domestic & Export Markets**



Over 60% of the New Zealand segment's volume (including Trading volume) is sold into export markets, with China being the largest source of demand.



## **Inventory Levels Drive Near-Term Price Fluctuations**




Export supply / demand is generally considered in balance when the ratio of port inventory to average monthly demand is between 1.4 and 1.9 times. Inventory typically spikes around the Chinese New Year.



Source: Rayonier estimates.

## **New Zealand Log Prices Trending Upward**



Over the last 10 years, New Zealand log prices have been trending upward based primarily on growing export market demand.



ource: NZ Ministry of Primary Industries.

## **Real Estate / HBU Strategy**



## Real Estate Strategy: Optimize Value & Create Optionality

#### **Timberlands**



#### Strategic sales of timberland

- Opportunistic-only for capital allocation
- Upgrade portfolio
- Excluded from Adj.
   EBITDA and proforma financials

#### **Timberland value**

Opportunistic



#### Sale of non-strategic and timberland assets

- Timberland: capture a financial premium
- Non-Strategic: monetize and repurpose "deadcapital"

#### **Timberland premium**

Reduce reliance

#### Real Estate



Rural Places + Properties

#### Sale of rural properties

- Stable and recurring source of cash flows
- Build a pipeline of enhanced rural properties in higher potential markets

#### **HBU** premium + ROI

Grow moderately



Unimproved Development

### Sale of properties with development rights

- Invest in securing development rights
- Build a pipeline of entitled properties in higher potential markets

#### **HBU premium + ROI**

Grow in select markets



## Sale of developed land parcels

- Invest in infrastructure and amenities
- Enhance value and create optionality of adjacent RYN properties

#### HBU premium + ROI + optionality

Grow in very select markets

Land Resources



Sale of access to use properties / extract resources


- Maximize and grow annual gross margin/ac
- Build diverse portfolio of cash flows
- Develop new business growth

Annual gross margin per acre

Grow moderately




## Strategic Focus on Maximizing HBU Premium



Rayonier has significantly improved its average HBU price realizations in recent years.



#### I-95 Coastal Corridor: Active Portfolio Management



Rayonier planning areas
Other Rayonier properties
Active projects (Improved)
Active projects (Unimproved)
Under evaluation
Optimize timberland value

Georgia Low County
(Savannah, Richmond Hill, Bryan County)

Florida Low County (St. Mary's, Nassau County)

Selected Transactions (2013 - 2019) Wildlight 69.3 ac | \$226,981/ac Richmond Hill 6.86 ac | \$145,773/ac Belfast 1 8.8 ac \$91.429/ac Belfast Com. Ctr. \$34,371/ac 166 ac l North St. Johns 1.383 ac | \$18.323/ac Crawford Diamond 1.805 ac I \$10.000/ac South St. Johns 11,211 ac | \$3.644/ac Deep Creek \$3,200/ac 1.994 ac

#### **Overview of Wildlight Community Development**

# WILDLIGHT

FLORIDA LOWCOUNTRY LIVING


- 261 net-acre Wildlight Village Center commenced development in March 2016
- Well positioned in a growing sub-market
- Rayonier owns ~25,000 acres in a 5-mile radius
- Land use entitlements in place to support future growth
- Total Sales Project-To-Date\*:
  - Commercial: \$12.0MM gross sales (56 gross ac) (55 net ac)
  - Residential: \$3.9MM gross sales (84 lots) (13 gross & net ac)
- Wildlight Elementary School & Rayonier HQ Office Building completed August 2017
- 6-lane A1A highway and new Interstate 95 interchange under construction completing end of 2020





Our strategy is to target Northeast Florida market demand and catalyze value across our significant land holdings over time.





## Significant Catalytic Projects Now Complete or Underway











# **Appendix**



#### **Definitions of Non-GAAP Measures & Pro Forma Items**

Adjusted EBITDA is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion, amortization, the non-cash cost of land and improved development, non-operating income and expense, costs related to shareholder litigation, the gain on foreign currency derivatives, Large Dispositions, internal review and restatement costs and discontinued operations. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that management uses to make strategic decisions about the business and that investors can use to evaluate the operational performance of the assets under management. It removes the impact of specific items that management believes do not directly reflect the core business operations on an ongoing basis.

**EBITDA** by segment is calculated as operating income less depreciation, depletion, amortization and specific items that are not indicative of ongoing operating results. EBITDA by segment for Rayonier is equal to Adjusted EBITDA.

Cash Available for Distribution (CAD) is defined as cash provided by operating activities adjusted for capital spending (excluding timberland acquisitions and spending on the Rayonier office building) and working capital and other balance sheet changes. CAD is a non-GAAP measure that management uses to measure cash generated during a period that is available for common stock dividends, distributions to the New Zealand minority shareholder, repurchase of the Company's common shares, debt reduction, strategic acquisitions and real estate development investments. CAD is not necessarily indicative of the CAD that may be generated in future periods.

Costs related to shareholder litigation is defined as expenses incurred as a result of the shareholder litigation, shareholder derivative demands and Rayonier's response on an SEC subpoena. See Note 10 – Contingencies of Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and page 29 in the Company's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Gain on foreign currency derivatives** is the gain resulting from the foreign exchange derivatives the Company used to mitigate the risk of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates while awaiting the capital contribution to the New Zealand subsidiary.

**Large Dispositions** are defined as transactions involving the sale of timberland that exceed \$20 million in size and do not have a demonstrable premium relative to timberland value.



### **Reconciliation of Net Debt**

| (\$ in millions)                                |         |
|---|---------|
| Q2 2019   |         |
| Long-term debt, net of deferred financing costs | \$972.8 |
| Deferred financing costs                        | 2.2     |
| Total Debt                                      | \$975.0 |
| Cash and cash equivalents                       | (131.0) |
| Net Debt  | \$844.0 |



## Reconciliation of Net Income to Adjusted EBITDA

| (\$ in millions)                                    | Q2 YTD 2019 | 2018    | 2017    | 2016    | 2015    | 2014    |
|---|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (\$ III TIIIIIO113)                                 | Q2 11D 2013 | 2010    | 2017    | 2010    | 2013    | 2014    |
| Net income  | \$48.7      | \$117.3 | \$161.5 | \$217.8 | \$43.9  | \$97.8  |
| Interest, net, continuing operations                | 13.8        | 29.7    | 32.2    | 33.0    | 34.7    | 49.7    |
| Income tax expense (benefit), continuing operations | 8.0         | 25.2    | 21.8    | 5.0     | (0.9)   | (9.6)   |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization            | 64.1        | 144.1   | 127.6   | 115.1   | 113.7   | 120.0   |
| Non-cash cost of land and improved development      | 5.6         | 23.6    | 13.7    | 11.7    | 12.5    | 13.2    |
| Non-operating (income) / expense                    | (0.6)       | (2.2)   | -       | -       | 0.1     | 3.8     |
| Costs related to shareholder litigation (1)         | -           | -       | 0.7     | 2.2     | 4.1     | -       |
| Gain on foreign currency derivatives (1)            | -           | -       | -       | (1.2)   | -       | -       |
| Large Dispositions (1)                              | -           | -       | (67.0)  | (143.9) | -       | (21.4)  |
| Internal review and restatement costs (1)           | -           | -       | -       | -       | -       | 3.4     |
| Net income from discontinued operations (1)         | -           | -       | -       | -       | -       | (43.4)  |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                                 | \$139.7     | \$337.7 | \$290.5 | \$239.7 | \$208.1 | \$213.5 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and reconciliations).



# Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA by Segment

| (A to 10 Miles and )                        | Southern      | Pacific<br>Northwest | New Zealand   | Parl Fateta | Too Food  | Corporate      | T. (4)          |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| (\$ in millions) Q2 YTD 2019                | Timber        | Timber               | Timber        | Real Estate | Trading   | and Other      | Total           |
| Operating Income                            | \$36.3        | (\$7.6)              | \$28.5        | \$25.5      | \$0.3     | (\$13.1)       | \$69.9          |
| Depreciation, depletion & amortization      | 32.6          | 12.9                 | 13.5          | 4.5         | -         | 0.6            | 64.1            |
| Non-cash cost of land and real estate sold  | -             | -                    | -             | 5.6         | -         | -              | 5.6             |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                         | \$68.9        | \$5.3                | \$42.0        | \$35.7      | \$0.3     | (\$12.5)       | \$139.7         |
|   |               |                      |               |             |           |                |                 |
| 2018 Operating Income                       | \$44.2        | \$8.1                | \$62.8        | \$76.2      | \$1.0     | (\$22.3)       | \$170.1         |
| Depreciation, depletion & amortization      | φ44.2<br>58.6 | ъо. 1<br>32.8        | φ02.8<br>28.0 | 23.6        | φ1.U<br>- | (Φ22.3)<br>1.2 | φ170.1<br>144.1 |
| Non-cash cost of land and real estate sold  | -             | -                    | -             | 23.6        | -         | -              | 23.6            |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                         | \$102.8       | \$40.9               | \$90.8        | \$123.4     | \$1.0     | (\$21.1)       | \$337.7         |
|   |               |                      |               |             |           |                |                 |
| 2017 Operating Income                       | \$42.2        | \$1.1                | \$57.6        | \$130.9     | \$4.6     | (\$20.9)       | \$215.5         |
| Depreciation, depletion & amortization      | 49.4          | 32.0                 | ψ37.5<br>27.5 | 17.9        | φ+.0      | 0.8            | 127.6           |
| Non-cash cost of land and real estate sold  | -             | -                    | -             | 13.7        | -         | -              | 13.7            |
| Costs related to shareholder litigation (1) | -             | -                    | -             | -           | -         | 0.7            | 0.7             |
| Large Dispositions (1)                      | -             | -                    | -             | (67.0)      | -         | -              | (67.0)          |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                         | \$91.6        | \$33.1               | \$85.1        | \$95.5      | \$4.6     | (\$19.4)       | \$290.5         |
| 2016  |               |                      |               |             |           |                |                 |
| 2016 Operating Income                       | \$43.1        | (\$4.0)              | \$33.0        | \$202.4     | \$2.0     | (\$20.8)       | \$255.7         |
| Depreciation, depletion & amortization      | 49.8          | 25.2                 | 23.4          | 16.3        | Ψ2.0      | (ψ20.0)<br>0.4 | 115.1           |
| Non-cash cost of land and real estate sold  | -             | -                    | -             | 11.7        | -         |                | 11.7            |
| Costs related to shareholder litigation (1) | -             | -                    | -             | -           | -         | 2.2            | 2.2             |
| Gain on foreign currency derivatives (1)    | -             | -                    | -             | _           | -         | (1.2)          | (1.2)           |
| Large Dispositions (1)                      | -             | -                    | -             | (143.9)     | -         | -              | (143.9)         |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                         | \$92.9        | \$21.2               | \$56.5        | \$86.6      | \$2.0     | (\$19.4)       | \$239.7         |
|   |               |                      |               |             |           |                |                 |

(1) Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and reconciliations).



# Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA by Segment

|   | Southern | Pacific<br>Northwest | New Zealand |             |         | Corporate |         |
|---|----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| (\$ in millions)                            | Timber   | Timber               | Timber      | Real Estate | Trading | and Other | Total   |
| <u>2015</u>                                 |          |                      |             |             | _       |           |         |
| Operating Income                            | \$46.7   | \$6.9                | \$1.6       | \$45.5      | \$1.2   | (\$24.1)  | \$77.8  |
| Depreciation, depletion & amortization      | 54.3     | 14.8                 | 25.5        | 18.7        | -       | 0.4       | 113.7   |
| Non-cash cost of land and real estate sold  | -        | -                    | -           | 12.5        | -       | -         | 12.5    |
| Costs related to shareholder litigation (1) | -        | -                    | -           | -           | -       | 4.1       | 4.1     |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                         | \$101.0  | \$21.7               | \$27.1      | \$76.7      | \$1.2   | (\$19.6)  | \$208.1 |
| 2014  |          |                      |             |             |         |           |         |
| Operating Income                            | \$45.7   | \$29.5               | \$8.7       | \$48.3      | \$1.7   | (\$35.6)  | \$98.3  |
| Depreciation, depletion & amortization      | 52.2     | 21.3                 | 32.2        | 13.4        | -       | 0.9       | 120.0   |
| Non-cash cost of land and real estate sold  | -        | -                    | -           | 13.2        | -       | -         | 13.2    |
| Large Dispositions (1)                      | -        | -                    | -           | (21.4)      | -       | -         | (21.4)  |
| Internal review and restatement costs (1)   | -        | -                    | -           | -           | -       | 3.4       | 3.4     |
| Adjusted EBITDA (1)                         | \$97.9   | \$50.8               | \$40.9      | \$53.5      | \$1.7   | (\$31.3)  | \$213.5 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measure or pro forma item (see Appendix for definitions and reconciliations).

